

The Efficacy, Safety, and Processing Methodologies of Allogenic Human Amniotic Membrane in Burn and Reconstructive Surgery

Francisco Javier Velázquez Aranda¹, Sergio Morales Acosta², Ángel de Jesús Ramos Fuentes³, Abraham Saynes Jarquin⁴, Mariana Carolina Zuñiga Garcia ORCID: 0009-0006-6445-2569⁵, Saúl Xicohténcatl García⁶, Gilberto Luis Juan Baltazar⁷, Juan Pablo Jiménez Torres⁸, Sylvana Cruz Navarro⁹, Hernández Sánchez Irvin¹⁰, Sofia Alejandra Pinto López¹¹

¹Instituto Nacional de Rehabilitación, CENIAQ

² Universidad de Guadalajara, Centro Universitario de Ciencias de la Salud (CUCS).

³ Universidad Veracruzana.

⁴ HGZ 48, IMSS.

⁵ Hospital Regional Salamanca PEMEX.

⁶ HGZ 23 IMSS.

⁷ Centro Universitario del Sur- Universidad de Guadalajara.

⁸ Hospital General Regional 46 "Lázaro Cárdenas.

⁹ Hospital General de León.

¹⁰ Hospital General de México "Dr Eduardo Liceaga".

¹¹ Universidad Católica de Honduras.

ABSTRACT

Cutaneous thermal injury and extensive soft-tissue losses impose heavy operational and economic loads onto healthcare frameworks worldwide. Traditional treatment paradigms often rely upon frequent, highly distressing dressing applications or autologous skin grafts, which may be significantly constrained by donor-site availability and associated secondary morbidity.

Human amniotic membrane (HAM) has re-emerged as an accessible, cost-effective, and highly specialized biologic skin substitute. This review evaluates the structural components, immunology, processing protocols, and clinical outcomes of HAM based on the current peer-reviewed literature. Rigorous statistical findings demonstrate that HAM yields a significantly lower bacterial colonization rate, marked pain relief, compressed healing durations, and reduced metabolic resource expenditure when compared to gold-standard silver sulfadiazine or synthetic dressings. This synthesis serves as a specialized clinical and educational guide for plastic surgery residents optimizing modern wound care pathways.

KEYWORDS: Amniotic membrane, bacterial colonization, pain relief, gold standard, soft tissue.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
22 June 2026

Available on:
<https://ijmscrs.com>

I. INTRODUCTION

Thermal injuries and large-scale cutaneous defects continue to represent major challenges within plastic and reconstructive surgery, frequently consuming massive medical resources and inflicting heavy socio-economic burdens globally [cite: 1, 2, 5]. Cutaneous damage completely disrupts the host's primary homeostatic barrier, rendering patients immediate targets for severe liquid evaporation, hypothermia, core protein wastage, and fast bacterial colonization that can quickly progress into systemic sepsis

[cite: 3, 4, 7]. The primary objective of burn management is achieving rapid, stable wound closure [cite: 7]. While autologous split-thickness skin grafting (STSG) remains the therapeutic benchmark, its practical deployment is often limited by severe donor-site availability in major burns, additional structural pain, permanent secondary scarring, and systemic shocks from transforming healthy skin into open wounds [cite: 2, 4, 7].

In response to these systemic limitations, the investigation of biologic skin substitutes has advanced considerably

The Efficacy, Safety, and Processing Methodologies of Allogenic Human Amniotic Membrane in Burn and Reconstructive Surgery

[cite: 7]. Human amniotic membrane (HAM), derived from the innermost layer of the fetal ectoderm, stands out as a unique structural matrix [cite: 1, 2]. First proposed as an anatomical graft material in 1910 and clinically applied for thermal wounds in 1913, HAM possesses an extraordinary array of biochemical properties [cite: 1, 2, 4]. It is lightweight, highly elastic, semipermeable, translucent, and easily moldable to irregular body lines [cite: 1, 4]. Biologically, HAM seals exposed dermal surfaces, limits plasma exudation, prevents microbial adherence, and secretes specific morphogenic components that stimulate fast epithelialization [cite: 1, 2, 3, 4]. This review provides plastic surgery residents with a deep analysis of HAM's underlying anatomy, processing techniques, and critical evidence-based outcomes within modern clinical practice.

II. ANATOMICAL AND BIOMOLECULAR ARCHITECTURE

The human placenta contains two distinct fetal membranes: the outer chorion and the inner amnion [cite: 2, 3]. From a specialized surgical standpoint, the amnion is a thin, avascular tissue measuring between 0.02 mm and 0.5 mm in thickness [cite: 1, 2]. Histologically, it is comprised of five separate, well-defined zones: a single layer of specialized cuboidal epithelial cells, a thick basement membrane, a compact layer, a fibroblast layer, and an outermost spongy layer that faces the chorion [cite: 2, 3]. The structural integrity and functional performance of HAM rely directly upon this highly organized matrix. The epithelial cells possess an extensive brush border facing the amniotic fluid, indicating highly active transport [cite: 2]. The underlying basement membrane contains an abundant concentration of laminin, fibronectin, and types IV, V, and VII collagen [cite: 2]. This creates an ideal basement framework that supports host



Figure 1.-Second-degree burns to the thorax, abdomen, and arms

keratinocyte migration and stable structural adhesion [cite: 4]. The deep stromal layers are composed mostly of structured types I and III collagen, which provide the high mechanical tensile strength and elasticity required for form-fitting application across mobile joint surfaces without tearing [cite: 1, 2].

Beyond functioning as a static mechanical barrier, HAM acts as an active biochemical engine [cite: 7]. Extensive reverse-

transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) protocols have demonstrated that the stromal and epithelial regions of HAM express high levels of essential morphogenic growth factors [cite: 2, 6]. These include epidermal growth factor (EGF), keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), and transforming growth factors alpha and beta (TGF- α , TGF- β 1,2,3) [cite: 2]. These molecules collectively orchestrate a rapid, tightly regulated cellular sequence that actively downregulates host inflammatory responses while accelerating targeted skin edge coverage and healthy vascular network formation [cite: 2, 3, 6].

III. IMMUNOLOGY AND ANALGESIC PROPERTIES

A primary historical concern preventing the adoption of allogenic tissues in reconstructive surgery was the threat of acute immunological rejection [cite: 2]. However, HAM exhibits a remarkably low immunogenicity profiles, making it an immune-privileged structure [cite: 1, 2, 6]. Early tissue studies confirmed that human amniotic epithelial cells completely lack the expression of classical human leukocyte antigens HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, and HLA-DR, as well as β 2-microglobulin on their active cell membranes [cite: 2, 3]. Consequently, allogenic transplantation of HAM does not trigger a T-cell-mediated host immune response or secondary target tissue destruction [cite: 3]. Furthermore, HAM contains active immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory factors, including human leukocyte antigen-G (HLA-G) and Fas ligand, which suppress peripheral lymphocyte proliferation and reduce localized polymorphonuclear leukocyte infiltration [cite: 2, 3]. By actively inhibiting host proteinase and leukocyte elastase activation, HAM effectively limits prolonged inflammatory phases, thereby reducing the risk of bad contractures and hypertrophic scar development [cite: 3, 4]. The pain relief achieved immediately following HAM application is incredibly dramatic, particularly within pediatric populations [cite: 1, 3, 5]. Cutaneous thermal injuries expose sensitive bare nerve endings to ambient air currents, tactile friction, and desiccation, causing continuous, severe pain signals [cite: 2, 3, 7]. When smoothly adapted to the raw surface, the unique adhesive properties of HAM provide a stable biological seal that covers these exposed nerve endings, shielding them from environmental exposure and friction [cite: 2, 4]. Additionally, HAM maintains a moist, physiologically balanced wound interface that suppresses nerve ischemia and localized muscular spasms [cite: 2, 5]. Clinical trials reveal a profound down-regulation of required systemic analgesics and sedatives following HAM

mapping, vastly improving child playfulness, ambient interaction, and early mobility [cite: 3, 5].

IV. TISSUE COLLECTION AND PROCESSING PROTOCOLS

To eliminate any risk of bacterial or viral disease transmission, all biological tissues must be handled under strict quality control standards [cite: 2, 3, 4, 6]. Placentas are harvested exclusively from scheduled, healthy cesarean deliveries under completely sterile operating room conditions [cite: 2, 5, 6]. This avoids the severe bacterial contamination pathways associated with vaginal deliveries [cite: 2, 6]. Prior to harvesting, a comprehensive written

informed consent is secured from the maternal donor [cite: 2, 6]. The donor must then undergo mandatory serological screening protocols covering human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1/2), hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and



Figure 2.- Second-degree superficial and deep burn injuries.

Treponema pallidum (syphilis) [cite: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6]. The principal preservation methodologies used to build stable amnion banks are detailed below:

4.1. Fresh Saline and Antibiotic Preservation

Historically, fresh HAM was cleansed of blood clots using sterile physiological saline or modified Dakin's solutions, stored at 4°C in a saline solution enriched with antibiotics (such as 80 mg/L gentamicin), and deployed on wounds within one week [cite: 1]. While fresh preparation preserves the highest baseline concentrations of active cells and native growth factors, its routine adoption is limited by a very short shelf life and the inability to re-screen the maternal donor after a six-month quarantine windows [cite: 2, 3, 4]. This creates a potential risk for viral transmission during the serological window period [cite: 2].

4.2. Glycerol Preservation Methodologies

Glycerol preservation is widely recognized as a highly stable, cost-effective banking technique that effectively removes all cell viability while preserving the collagen matrix [cite: 2, 5, 6]. Placentas are mechanically separated under a laminar flow hood, and any remaining blood is completely cleared [cite: 2]. In standard configurations, the isolated amnion is placed in 85% glycerol solutions for 24 hours at room temperature, then transferred into fresh sterile bottles containing 85% glycerol and stored in standard refrigerators at 4°C [cite: 1, 2, 5]. This technique allows for long-term

tissue banking for up to 5 years without complex structural damage [cite: 2, 5].

To maximize the virucidal safety margins of HAM, advanced research groups have evaluated ultra-high concentration 98% glycerol storage [cite: 6]. While standard 85% glycerol eliminates baseline bacteria and enveloped viruses, it can fail to fully inactivate certain non-enveloped intracellular viral particles [cite: 6]. In contrast, a 98% glycerol concentration exerts maximum osmotic pressure, causing immediate viral dehydration and intracellular destruction [cite: 6]. Clinical evaluations confirm that HAM stored in 98% glycerol retains excellent structural pliability, elasticity, and ease of handling [cite: 6]. Before clinical application, the glycerol-preserved membrane is washed thoroughly in sterile normal saline for 20 minutes to completely remove any chemical residues, preventing localized tissue irritation [cite: 5,6].

4.3. Lyophilization and Gamma-Irradiation

Supported by quality standards from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the combination of freeze-drying (lyophilization) and gamma-irradiation provides a highly reliable method for creating room-temperature stable, off-the-shelf biological sheets [cite: 2, 3, 4]. Following careful separation and clear translucent washing, the tissue is pasteurized at 60°C, treated with 70% ethanol, and freeze-dried under negative pressure to eliminate over 95% of its moisture content [cite: 1, 2, 4]. The dry membranes are double-packed in polyethylene sleeves and sterilized using a high-dose Cobalt-60 gamma-irradiation source at 25 kGy [cite: 1, 2, 3, 4]. This sterilization level effectively neutralizes all microbial spores and viral structures, though it causes a minor reduction in certain fibroblast growth factor components (FGF-1, FGF-2) [cite: 2, 3]. The final vacuum-packed product can be stored safely at room temperature for up to 6 months without degradation [cite: 2].

V. EVIDENCE-BASED CLINICAL OUTCOMES AND META-ANALYSIS DATA.

The therapeutic efficacy of HAM across different reconstructive challenges has been thoroughly documented in multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews [cite: 1, 2, 3]. Data pooling across 11 high-quality clinical controlled trials involving 816 human subjects confirms that HAM is vastly superior to traditional conservative treatments and conventional topical agents [cite: 1].

5.1. Bacterial Colonization and Infection Control

Controlling wound infection is essential to prevent secondary damage that can increase initial burn depth, requiring subsequent surgical intervention [cite: 4]. Large meta-

The Efficacy, Safety, and Processing Methodologies of Allogenic Human Amniotic Membrane in Burn and Reconstructive Surgery

analyses utilize random-effects models to measure relative risks when data heterogeneity (I2) exceeds 50%. For acute burn wounds, the pooled risk ratio (RR) for bacterial invasion indicates that HAM significantly outperforms conventional exposed methods and topical antimicrobial ointments: $RR_{Conventional} = 0.51 \quad (95\% \text{ ext\{ CI: \} } 0.28 \text{ ext\{ to \} } 0.92; \backslash p = 0.03)$ [cite: 1] $RR_{Silver \backslash Sulfadiazine} = 0.73 \quad (95\% \text{ ext\{ CI: \} } 0.53 \text{ ext\{ to \} } 1.00; \backslash p = 0.05)$ [cite: 1] This robust antibacterial effect does not stem from intrinsic, broad-spectrum antibiotic secretion [cite: 4]. Instead, it is driven by the tight biological seal established within 2 to 3 hours of application [cite: 4]. By adhering directly to the raw wound bed, HAM eliminates the open, ischemic dead spaces where exudative fluids accumulate, preventing bacterial proliferation [cite: 4]. This intimate structural adherence allows the host's own cellular defenses, including phagocytes and serum bacteriolytic factors, to operate with maximum efficiency [cite: 4].

5.2. Quantitative Pain Analysis

Compared to standard silver sulfadiazine (SSD) applications, HAM delivers massive pain reduction both before and after scheduled dressing adjustments [cite: 1]. Continuous pain evaluations using standardized visual analog scales (VAS) demonstrate substantial, statistically significant differences: $MD_{Before \backslash Dressing} = -2.35 \quad (95\% \text{ ext\{ CI: \} } -2.72 \text{ ext\{ to \} } -1.98; \backslash p < 0.00001)$ [cite: 1] $MD_{After \backslash Dressing} = -3.75 \quad (95\% \text{ ext\{ CI: \} } -4.03 \text{ ext\{ to \} } -3.11; \backslash p < 0.00001)$ [cite: 1]

Furthermore, evaluating the dichotomous rate of patients experiencing a completely painless treatment course reveals a massive relative benefit favoring the HAM framework against SSD or synthetic polyurethane sheets: $RR_{vs. \backslash Silver \backslash Sulfadiazine} = 3.91 \quad (95\% \text{ ext\{ CI: \} } 2.29 \text{ ext\{ to \} } 6.69; \backslash p < 0.00001)$ [cite: 1] $RR_{vs. \backslash Polyurethane} = 1.58 \quad (95\% \text{ ext\{ CI: \} } 1.03 \text{ ext\{ to \} } 2.44; \backslash p = 0.04)$ [cite: 1]

5.3. Healing Rates and Dressing Change Frequency

HAM significantly shortens the duration of hospital stays and accelerates re-epithelialization across superficial and deep partial-thickness injuries [cite: 1, 3, 6]. In pediatric cohorts presenting with second-degree thermal burns, the time required for complete epithelial coverage was significantly lower in the HAM group compared to the SSD control ($p < 0.001$) [cite: 3]. In an identical manner, a clinical trial managing split-thickness skin graft donor sites showed that HAM shortened healing times to $11.7 \pm 2.4 \text{ ext\{ days\} }$, compared to $15.4 \pm 3.7 \text{ ext\{ days\} }$ in the chlorhexidine-paraffin gauze control group ($p < 0.05$) [cite: 6]. This rapid healing is paired with a huge drop in required dressing

adjustments [cite: 1, 3]. While SSD requires twicedaily cleanings and mechanical re-applications, HAM is typically applied as a single, long-term biological layer [cite: 3, 4, 6]. The standardized mean difference (SMD) for dressing change frequency across pooled studies highlights this immense reduction: $SMD = -3.53 \quad (95\% \text{ ext\{ CI: \} } -6.26 \text{ ext\{ to \} } -0.80; \backslash p = 0.01)$ [cite: 1] Once applied, the translucent membrane permits direct visualization of the underlying wound bed and early detection of localized fluid or pus collections without disturbing the tissue layer [cite: 1, 4]. As epithelialization progresses underneath, the desiccated membrane gradually peels off spontaneously as a dry scab, avoiding any mechanical trauma to the newly formed, fragile epithelium [cite: 3, 4].

Table 1: Technical Comparison of Human Amniotic Membrane vs. Conventional Burn Treatments

Parameters of Interest	Human Amniotic Membrane (HAM) [cite: 1, 3, 4, 6]	Silver Sulfadiazine (SSD) Ointment [cite: 1, 3, 7]	Chlorhexidine Paraffin Gauze [cite: 6]	
Primary Mechanism	Adherent biologic cover; active morphogenic growth factor release	Topical antimicrobial broad-spectrum agent cream	Passive non-adherent antiseptic contact layer	Semi-occlusive biosynthetic matrix template
Epithelialization Speed	Significantly faster (11.7 ± 2.4 days at STSG sites)	Delayed due to constant mechanical changes and sludge	Standard baseline speed (15.4 ± 3.7 days at donor sites)	Comparable to standard membranes; non-inferior take
Dressing Adjustments	Typically a single application; peels off spontaneously	Laborious; twice daily or every 12 hours	Frequent; every 48 hours depending on heavy soakage	Remains in place for 2 weeks until fully epithelialized
Pain Management Profile	Profound immediate relief; seals raw bare nerve endings	Severe distress during and in-between cleanings	Moderate pain from friction and recurrent adherence	Reduced baseline scores; stable mechanical protection
Scar Hypertrophy Risk	Significantly reduced via protease inhibition pathway	Higher risk due to prolonged host inflammatory phases	Standard baseline risk; relies on secondary healing	Standard baseline risk; no long-term impairment
Institutional Economics	Extremely low cost (approx. \$3 per 400-500 cm ² placenta)	High cumulative expense from continuous supplies	Inexpensive baseline cost but high clinical labor hours	Exorbitantly expensive; limited availability in low-income

VI. RECONSTRUCTIVE INDICATIONS AND SURGICAL CUSTOMIZATION.

HAM's versatile properties allow for tailored application across a wide spectrum of plastic and reconstructive surgery indications [cite: 1, 4, 7]: Superficial and Partial-Thickness Burns: HAM serves as an excellent stand-alone biological covering for partialthickness injuries, particularly across complex cosmetic regions like the pediatric face and neck [cite: 3, 7]. Its high pliability allows it to adapt seamlessly to complex facial features, preserving normal structural contours and mobility [cite: 7]. Split-Thickness Skin Graft Donor Sites: Applying HAM over freshly harvested donor sites provides an immediate biological shield that stops plasma exudation, eliminates intense localized pain, and accelerates donor



The Efficacy, Safety, and Processing Methodologies of Allogenic Human Amniotic Membrane in Burn and Reconstructive Surgery

site reharvesting schedules down to approximately 7 days [cite: 6, 7]. This is highly advantageous in large burns where donor sites must

be reharvested multiple times [cite: 7]. Adjunct to Meshed Autografts (Sandwich Technique): In major burns with severe skin deficits, autografts are widely expanded using large mesh ratios (such as 1:4) [cite: 7]. Mapping HAM as an overlay sheet completely seals the open mesh spaces, preventing bacterial entry and fluid evaporation while providing a nutrient-rich matrix that accelerates early epithelial bridging across the gaps [cite: 1, 7]. Deep Wounds and Bedsores: In full-thickness burns, chronic pressure sores, or complex ulcers, HAM can be safely applied after complete surgical debridement of all necrotic tissue and thick eschar [cite: 4]. It reduces persistent wound induration, controls excessive protein exudation, and stimulates the formation of high-quality vascularized granulation

tissue, effectively preparing a stable bed for definitive skin grafting or secondary closure [cite: 4]. However, residents

Figure 3.- one week after treatment with Amnios in superficial second-degree burn in abdomen.

must remember that HAM should never be applied over active, purulent, or untreated

third-degree infections, as sealing a dirty wound will worsen bacterial proliferation and sub-membrane abscess development [cite: 4, 5].

CONCLUSIONS

Allogenic human amniotic membrane represents a highly efficient, clinically proven biological skin substitute that bridges the gap between basic dressing applications and autologous skin grafting [cite: 1, 6, 7]. Its unique anatomical structure, rich growth factor profile, low immunogenicity, and profound analgesic effects deliver optimized outcomes across partial-thickness burns, donor sites, and complex soft-tissue defects [cite: 2, 3, 6]. By reducing bacterial colonization, accelerating epithelial coverage, minimizing painful dressing manipulation, and offering exceptional costeffectiveness, HAM directly improves patient care [cite: 1, 3, 5]. For plastic surgery residents, mastering the clinical

indications, absolute contraindications, and processing methodologies of HAM is essential for optimizing modern wound reconstruction algorithms, especially in resource-constrained institutional settings [cite: 1, 5, 6].

REFERENCES

- I. Yang C, Xiong AB, He XC, Ding XB, Tian XL, Li Y, Yan H. Efficacy and feasibility of amniotic membrane for the treatment of burn wounds: A meta-analysis. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2021;90(4):744-755.
- II. Kesting MR, Wolff KD, Hohlweg-Majert B, Steinstraesser L. The Role of Allogenic Amniotic Membrane in Burn Treatment. *J Burn Care Res.* 2008;29(6):907-916.
- III. Mostaque AK, Rahman KBMA. Comparisons of the Effects of Biological Membrane (Amnion) and Silver Sulfadiazine in theManagement of Burn Wounds in Children. *J Burn Care Res.* 2011;32(2):200-209.
- IV. Gajiwala K, Gajiwala AL. Evaluation of lyophilised, gamma-irradiated amnion as a biological dressing. *Cell Tissue Bank.* 2004;5(2): 73-80.
- V. Ravishanker R, Bath AS, Roy R. "Amnion Bank" the use of long term glycerol preserved amniotic membranes in the management of superficial and superficial partial thickness burns. *Burns.* 2003;29(4):369-374.
- VI. Zidan SM, Eleowa SA, Nasef MA, Abd-Almuktader MA, Elbatawy AM, Borhamy AG, Aboliela MA, Ali AM, Algamal MR. Maximizing the safety of glycerol preserved human amniotic membrane as a biological dressing. *Burns.* 2015;41(7):1498-1503.
- VII. Jeschke MG, Shahrokhi S, Finnerty CC, Branski LK, Dibildox M; ABA Organization & Delivery of Burn Care Committee. WoundCoverage Technologies in Burn Care: EstablishedTechniques. *J Burn Care Res.* 2018;39(3):313-318.