

Advanced Reconstructive Strategies in Modern Burn Care: An Integrated, Multidisciplinary Review

Gerardo Elías Luján Álvarez¹, Jazmyn Elizabeth Rodríguez Contreras², Estefany Marlen Barragán Jiménez², Axel Roberto Rosas Alemán³, André Alessandro Madrid Perdomo⁴, Daniel Herrera Hernández⁵, Jesús Insúa Pohls⁶, Héctor Hernández Bonilla⁷, José Noé Corrales Medina³, Hugo Corrales Medina³

¹UMAE Hospital de Traumatología “Dr. Victorio de la Fuente Narváez”, IMSS, Ciudad de México, México.

²Hospital General de Zona No. 3, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Aguascalientes, México.

³Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Sinaloa, México.

⁴Hospital Centro Médico Tuxpam, Veracruz, México.

⁵Hospital General Regional No. 1, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Tijuana, Baja California, México.

⁶Hospital General Regional No. 1, Ciudad Obregón, Sonora, México.

⁷Hospital Universitario de Saltillo, Coahuila, México.

ABSTRACT

Advanced burn management has evolved into a highly specialized, staged, and multidisciplinary process aimed at restoring form, function, and long-term quality of life. Early excision and grafting remain the cornerstone of care for deep partial- thickness and full-thickness burns, significantly decreasing infection, systemic inflammation, and mortality. Split-thickness skin grafts remain the primary modality, while full-thickness grafts are selectively applied in small but functionally critical areas. In extensive burns, limited donor availability necessitates temporary coverage with allografts, dermal substitutes, or newer cellular adjuncts such as cultured epithelial autografts, which permit wound closure despite their structural limitations. Reconstruction of complex defects—particularly those involving exposed tendons, nerves, or bone—requires a graduated approach involving local flaps, regional pedicled flaps, and microsurgical free flaps tailored to functional and aesthetic needs. Adjunctive modalities including dermal matrices, scar-modifying procedures, and staged flap prefabrication contribute significantly to long-term outcomes. In select cases of catastrophic disfigurement, composite tissue allotransplantation offers the possibility of near-anatomic restoration despite considerable immunologic risk. This review consolidates contemporary reconstructive strategies, provides an algorithmic framework for clinical decision-making, and emphasizes the central role of multidisciplinary collaboration in optimizing outcomes for burn survivors.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
04 December 2025

Available on:
<https://ijmscrs.com>

INTRODUCTION

Burn injury remains one of the most complex and resource-intensive conditions in acute surgical care. Advances over the past decades have shifted the focus from mere survival to high-quality functional and aesthetic recovery. Early excision and autografting represent the foundational principles of modern burn management, as they directly reduce wound colonization, systemic inflammation, and overall mortality in deep partial-thickness and full-thickness burns [1,2]. Split-thickness skin grafts (STSG) are the mainstay of reconstruction, with sheet grafts selected for cosmetically sensitive regions such as the face, neck, and hands to optimize contour and pigmentation,

while meshed grafts enable expansion to cover extensive surface areas when donor skin is limited [1]. Full-thickness skin grafts (FTSG) are reserved for small but critical areas requiring maximal durability, minimal contraction, and superior aesthetic integration [1].

Patients with extensive burns exceeding 60% total body surface area (TBSA) frequently lack sufficient donor sites for immediate autografting, necessitating temporary biologic coverage with allografts or synthetic dermal substitutes [1,2]. These temporary materials protect the wound bed, reduce evaporative losses, and prevent progressive infection until autograft harvest becomes feasible. Emerging cellular technologies— such as

Advanced Reconstructive Strategies in Modern Burn Care: An Integrated, Multidisciplinary Review

cultured epithelial autografts (CEA) and spray-on epithelial cells—provide additional options in cases where donor site constraints are extreme, though their fragility and lack of dermal architecture limit durability [1,2].

Reconstruction of deep and complex defects requires escalation up the reconstructive ladder. Local flaps remain an effective solution for small wounds with adequate surrounding tissue and allow repositioning or redirection of scars to restore contour or mobility [3,4]. Regional or pedicled flaps, including groin or abdominal flaps, are valuable when local options are

exhausted, though they often require staged procedures and prolonged immobilization [4,5]. Microsurgical free flaps, such as the anterolateral thigh flap, radial forearm flap, or parascapular flap, are essential when covering large defects with exposed bone, tendon, or neurovascular structures. Free flaps offer unmatched versatility and can be shaped for both functional and aesthetic reconstruction; however, their success in the acute burn phase depends on careful timing, optimization of the burn-induced hyperinflammatory and hypercoagulable states, and experienced microsurgical capability [4–6].



Figure 1. Second-degree and mixed third-degree burns. Advanced management with multiple washouts, graft placement + mesh graft

More advanced, staged techniques such as flap prefabrication and prelamination further expand options for complex facial and contour reconstructions, enabling surgeons to incorporate multiple tissue layers into a single flap for improved structural and cosmetic outcomes [4,6]. Adjunctive methods—including dermal matrices, acellular scaffolds, and regenerative

templates—reduce contracture risk, particularly across joints, and improve graft take in challenging wound beds [4,5]. Long-term scar management remains a critical component of burn care; persistent hypertrophic scars or contractures may require surgical excision, Z-plasty, or flap resurfacing to restore range of motion and improve appearance [3,4].



Figure 2. Emergency dermofasciotomies for compartment syndrome of the right hand, third-degree burn on the back of the hand



Figure 3. Third-degree burn on the back of the hand and a deep second-degree burn on the fourth finger of the right hand.

In cases of catastrophic facial or upper extremity destruction, composite tissue allotransplantation (e.g., face or hand transplantation) provides the possibility of near-anatomic restoration and meaningful functional recovery. However, these

procedures demand lifelong immunosuppression and carry significant risk of acute and chronic rejection, limiting their application to highly selected candidates [3].



Figure 4. Radial artery flap for open wound with tendon exposure on the back of the hand. As advanced reconstructive management of a third-degree burn.

Reconstructive decision-making follows a systematic and algorithmic framework that prioritizes wound depth, defect size, location, exposure of critical structures, patient comorbidities, and anticipated functional needs. The reconstructive ladder—progressing from grafting to local flaps, regional flaps, and ultimately microsurgical free flaps—remains the guiding principle for escalation [4,5]. Optimal outcomes depend on coordinated

interdisciplinary care, involving burn surgeons, reconstructive and hand surgeons, intensivists, physical and occupational therapists, and psychosocial support teams, with early and aggressive rehabilitation essential to maintaining mobility, preventing contractures, and maximizing long-term recovery [5,7]

Table 1. Reconstructive Strategies in Modern Burn Management

Reconstructive Option	Indications	Intag es	Limitations	Key Referenc es
Split-Thickness Skin Grafts (STSG) (Sheet or Meshed)	Deep partial-thickness and full-thickness burns; large areas; early excision and	Versatile, reliable; sheet grafts offer superior cosmesis;	Meshed pattern persists; higher contraction; limited donor sites in extensive burns	[1,2]
Full-Thickness Skin Grafts (FTSG)	Small defects; requiring minimal contraction; eyelids, face, hands, joints	Best cosmetic graft; minimal secondary expansion	Donor site limited; not feasible for large burns	[1]
Allografts (Cadaveric Skin)	Temporary coverage in extensive burns >60% TBSA; insufficient donor sites	Biological dressing; reduces fluid loss and infection; prepares wound bed for autograftin g	Temporary only; risk of rejection; cost/logistics	[1,2]
Dermal Substitutes (e.g., Integra, AlloDerm)	Full-thickness wounds with exposed bone/tendon; joint involvement; limited donor sites	Creates neodermis; decreases contracture; improves graft take	Requires staged procedure; expensive; slower vascularization	[4,5]
Cultured Epithelial Autografts (CEA)	Massive burns >90% TBSA with minimal donor sites	Coverage possible when donor sites nearly absent	Fragile; poor durability; lacks dermis; higher failure rate	[1,2]
Local Flaps	Small defects; adjacent healthy tissue; scar; rearrangement	Reliable vascularity; similar tissue match; single-stage	Limited by local tissue availability	[3,4]
Regional/Pedicled Flaps (e.g., groin, abdominal flap)	Medium defects; when local flaps insufficient; hand reconstruction	Good vascularity; avoids microsurgery	Requires immobilization; staged division	[4,5]
Microsurgical Free Flaps (e.g., ALT flap)	Large, complex defects; exposed bone, tendon, nerves; functional/aesthetic reconstruction	Highly versatile; durable; restores bulk and contour	Technically demanding; higher risk of failure in acute burn hyperinflammato ry state	[4–6]
Prefabricated/Prelami nated Flaps	Complex facial or 3-D defects; need for specialized tissue composition	Allows incorporation of multiple tissues; excellent contour	Multistage; resource-intensive	[4,6]

CONCLUSION

Modern burn reconstruction has evolved into a highly individualized, algorithm-driven discipline integrating early wound closure, staged reconstructive interventions, and long-term functional rehabilitation. Early excision and STSG remain foundational principles, while FTSG, dermal substitutes, allografts, and cellular therapies expand reconstructive possibilities in complex or extensive injuries. Advanced flap techniques—including local, regional, pedicled, and microsurgical free flaps—enable reliable coverage of exposed critical structures, restoration of function, and improved aesthetic outcomes. Dermal matrices, scar-modifying procedures, and staged prefabricated flaps support contour restoration and reduce long-term morbidity. In the most severe cases, composite tissue allotransplantation represents a reconstructive frontier capable of restoring near-normal appearance and function, albeit with significant immunologic challenges.

Long-term recovery requires sustained multidisciplinary engagement encompassing surgical care, rehabilitation, psychosocial support, and scar management. Collectively, these strategies underscore that contemporary burn care is not only about surviving the initial injury but achieving meaningful reintegration, functionality, and quality of life. Continued innovations in biomaterials, microsurgery, and regenerative medicine promise further improvements in outcomes for future burn survivors.

REFERENCES

- I. Greenhalgh DG. Management of Burns. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(24):2349-2359. doi:10.1056/NEJMr1807442.
- II. Jeschke MG, Herndon DN. Burns in Children: Standard and New Treatments. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9923):1168-1178. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61093-4.
- III. Finnerty CC, Jeschke MG, Branski LK, et al. Hypertrophic Scarring: The Greatest Unmet Challenge After Burn Injury. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10052):1427-1436. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31406-4.
- IV. Orgill DP, Ogawa R. Current Methods of Burn Reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2013;131(5):827e-836e. doi:10.1097/PRS.0b013e31828e2138.
- V. Hundeshagen G, Warszawski J, Tapking C, et al. Concepts in Early Reconstruction of the Burned Hand. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2020;84(3):276-282. doi:10.1097/SAP.0000000000002019.
- VI. Alessandri Bonetti M, Egro FM. The Role of Microsurgery in Burn Surgery. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2024;51(3):399-408. doi:10.1016/j.cps.2024.02.005.
- VII. Salingeros S, Houg AP, Chang PH, Jacoby A. The Acute Burned Upper Extremity: Evolution of Practices and Management. *J Hand Surg*. 2025;50(7):855-863. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2025.02.020.