

Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Contemporary Reappraisal of Pathophysiological Mechanisms, Prognostic Implications, and Evolving Therapeutic Paradigms

Donaldo Emiliano Silva López¹, Alejandro Vázquez Zacarías², Omar Vladimir Martínez Rodríguez², Lizandro Miguel Morales Vázquez², César Omar Hernández Ibarra³, Jenifer Pamela Sierra Alaniz², Aldo Mauricio Villagrana Herrera⁴

¹Tecnológico de Monterrey campus Monterrey. Mexico.

²Universidad Cuauhtémoc Aguascalientes. Aguascalientes, Mexico.

³Universidad Cuauhtémoc, Plantel Aguascalientes, Jesús María. Mexico.

⁴Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas "Francisco García Salinas". Zacatecas, Mexico.

ABSTRACT

Right ventricular dysfunction (RVD) represents a critical and often underappreciated determinant of clinical outcomes in the setting of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). While historical focus has predominantly centered on the left ventricle, emerging evidence underscores the pivotal role of the right ventricle (RV) as a key prognosticator, particularly in cases of inferior wall myocardial infarction complicated by proximal right coronary artery occlusion. The unique pathophysiological sequelae of RV infarction initiate a vicious cycle of impaired contractility, reduced left ventricular preload, and consequent systemic hypotension, further exacerbating coronary perfusion in a feedback loop of ischemia and dysfunction. This complex pathophysiology, involving intricate ventricular interdependence and the RV's sensitivity to afterload, necessitates a sophisticated diagnostic approach. Contemporary management, heavily reliant on early revascularization through percutaneous coronary intervention, aims to disrupt this cycle; however, the optimal supportive pharmacological and mechanical circulatory support strategy remains a subject of intense investigation, as conventional therapies for left heart failure may be deleterious in the context of predominant RV failure. This article synthesizes the most current clinical evidence to provide a comprehensive review of the pathophysiology, diagnostic modalities, risk stratification, and evidence-based management strategies for RVD in AMI, highlighting its independent prognostic value and the urgent need for RV-specific treatment protocols to improve patient survival and functional recovery.

KEYWORDS: Right Ventricular Dysfunction, Acute Myocardial Infarction, Ventricular Interdependence, Right Coronary Artery Occlusion, Cardiogenic Shock, Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, Speckle-Tracking Echocardiography, Prognosis.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
17 October 2025

Available on:
<https://ijmscrs.com/>

INTRODUCTION

The clinical landscape of acute myocardial infarction has been transformed by advances in reperfusion therapy and pharmacotherapy, yet morbidity and mortality remain substantial, particularly in complex presentations. Within this context, the functional integrity of the right ventricle has

emerged from relative obscurity to be recognized as a cornerstone of hemodynamic stability and a powerful independent predictor of outcomes. The pathophysiological understanding of RV infarction has evolved significantly, moving beyond a simple model of isolated contractile failure. As elucidated in contemporary reviews, the insult of acute

Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Contemporary Reappraisal of Pathophysiological Mechanisms, Prognostic Implications, and Evolving Therapeutic Paradigms

ischemia triggers a cascade of events characterized by RV dilatation, septal dyskinesia due to the loss of synergistic contraction, and a precipitous drop in left ventricular preload, leading to low cardiac output syndrome. This is compounded by ventricular interdependence, where the pressure-loaded and dilated RV imposes a leftward septal shift, impairing left ventricular filling and function—a phenomenon that underscores the inextricable link between the two ventricles.^{1,2,3}

The diagnostic paradigm has similarly advanced, with conventional echocardiographic parameters like tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) and fractional area change (FAC) now being supplemented by more sensitive and load-independent measures such as RV global longitudinal strain (RV GLS) via speckle-tracking echocardiography, allowing for earlier and more precise detection of subclinical dysfunction. Despite the unequivocal benefit of timely revascularization, the post-infarction management of established RVD presents unique challenges. The RV's thin wall and peculiar physiology render it exquisitely sensitive to both preload and afterload, creating a therapeutic tightrope where routine interventions for left ventricular failure, such as nitrate administration and diuresis, can induce catastrophic hypotension. Therefore, a profound and updated understanding of the intricate pathophysiology, modern diagnostic tools, and tailored management strategies for RVD in AMI is imperative for the contemporary cardiologist to mitigate the significant associated risks of cardiogenic shock, arrhythmia, and in-hospital mortality. This article aims to provide a state-of-the-art synthesis on this critical subject, aligning with the latest evidence to refine clinical practice and improve patient prognostication.^{3,4,5}

BACKGROUND

The historical narrative of acute myocardial infarction has been predominantly authored from the perspective of the left ventricle, a focus justified by its central role in systemic perfusion and the high incidence of atherosclerotic disease within the left anterior descending and circumflex coronary arteries. Consequently, for decades, the right ventricle was relegated to a passive conduit, a mere bystander in the dramatic hemodynamic sequelae of coronary occlusion. This perception began its necessary revision following seminal pathological and clinical observations in the mid-to-late 20th century, which established that infarction of the right ventricular free wall occurs in up to 50% of cases involving the inferior left ventricular wall and is a potent marker of heightened in-hospital morbidity and mortality. The initial characterization of the RV infarction triad—hypotension, clear lung fields, and elevated jugular venous pressure—provided a clinical cornerstone, yet it also revealed the profound and unique vulnerability of the right-sided circulation. The pathophysiological underpinnings of this vulnerability are now known to be rooted in the ventricle's

distinct anatomic and functional profile. The right ventricle is a thin-walled, highly compliant chamber designed for low-pressure, high-volume work, with a coronary perfusion gradient that is critically dependent on both systolic and diastolic pressure, making it exquisitely susceptible to ischemia in the context of elevated wall tension or systemic hypotension.^{5,6,7}

The contemporary understanding of right ventricular dysfunction in AMI has been further refined by advanced imaging modalities and a deeper appreciation of biventricular interdependence. Echocardiographic advancements, particularly the integration of speckle-tracking-derived global longitudinal strain, have unveiled that even subtle, subclinical RV impairment carries significant prognostic weight, independent of left ventricular ejection fraction. The concept of ventricular interdependence has evolved from a theoretical model to a central pathophysiological pillar. An ischemic, dilated right ventricle causes septal displacement into the left ventricular cavity, thereby impairing left ventricular diastolic compliance and filling, which in turn diminishes cardiac output and coronary perfusion pressure, creating a self-perpetuating vicious cycle of ischemia and dysfunction.^{5,6,7}

This cycle is further exacerbated by the RV's pronounced afterload sensitivity; any increase in pulmonary vascular resistance, a common sequel of left heart failure and sympathetic surge, can precipitate acute RV decompensation. The therapeutic landscape for RV infarction has been paradoxically shaped by both resounding success and persistent challenge. The unequivocal benefit of primary percutaneous coronary intervention in restoring flow to the proximal right coronary artery has dramatically improved survival, yet it has not entirely abrogated the risk of progressive RV failure or cardiogenic shock. This has illuminated a critical knowledge gap regarding optimal post-reperfusion supportive care, as the pharmacological mainstays of left ventricular failure—namely nitrate-based preload reduction and aggressive diuresis—can be profoundly detrimental in the preload-dependent state of RV infarction, potentially collapsing the fragile hemodynamic equilibrium. Thus, the current era demands a sophisticated, RV-centric management algorithm, informed by a comprehensive grasp of its complex pathophysiology and guided by real-time multimodal assessment to ultimately improve outcomes in this high-risk patient cohort.⁷

Pathophysiology

The pathophysiological cascade instigated by right ventricular dysfunction in the context of acute myocardial infarction represents a distinct and complex clinical entity, fundamentally divergent from the sequelae of isolated left ventricular injury. The initiating event is most commonly an acute thrombotic occlusion of the proximal right coronary artery, which compromises the predominant blood supply to the right ventricular free wall and a significant portion of the

Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Contemporary Reappraisal of Pathophysiological Mechanisms, Prognostic Implications, and Evolving Therapeutic Paradigms

interventricular septum. This abrupt coronary insufficiency precipitates a rapid onset of myocardial ischemia within the thin-walled right ventricle, leading to immediate impairment of its systolic contractile force and diastolic compliance.⁷

The ensuing contractile failure results in pronounced RV dilatation, a critical compensatory mechanism that, while initially seeking to preserve stroke volume via the Frank-Starling law, rapidly becomes maladaptive. This acute chamber expansion elevates intraventricular wall stress and myocardial oxygen demand concurrently with a reduction in coronary perfusion pressure, thereby establishing a vicious feedback loop of propagating ischemia and worsening systolic performance. A paramount consequence of this RV dilatation and dysfunction is the phenomenon of ventricular interdependence, a pathophysiological cornerstone wherein the pressure-overloaded and volume-engorged right ventricle causes a pronounced leftward shift of the interventricular septum. This septal displacement, often manifesting as paradoxical or dyskinetic motion, directly impinges upon the left ventricular cavity, compromising its diastolic filling capacity and distorting its geometric configuration, which in turn diminishes left ventricular preload and ultimately compromises systemic cardiac output.⁷

The reduction in left ventricular preload instigates a precipitous decline in systemic arterial pressure, which further jeopardizes coronary perfusion, not only to the jeopardized right ventricle but also to the entirety of the myocardium, thereby globalizing the ischemic insult. Concurrently, the diminished cardiac output and the activation of the neurohormonal axis, including the sympathetic nervous system and the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, contribute to an increase in pulmonary vascular resistance, thereby imposing a significant afterload burden on the already failing right ventricle. The right ventricle's inherent anatomical design, being a thin-walled chamber, is exquisitely sensitive to such afterload elevations, and its functional capacity deteriorates precipitously in response, creating a second deleterious feedback loop. The systemic hypotension, combined with elevated central venous pressure from the failing right heart, critically reduces the coronary perfusion gradient for the right ventricle, whose perfusion occurs during both systole and diastole, further exacerbating the primary ischemic injury.⁸

This intricate and synergistic interplay of contractile failure, septal-mediated ventricular interdependence, reduced coronary perfusion pressure, and elevated afterload culminates in a state of self-perpetuating hemodynamic compromise. This complex pathophysiology explains the clinical presentation of cardiogenic shock characterized by hypotension and elevated jugular venous pressure despite clear lung fields, and it underscores the absolute imperative for therapeutic strategies that specifically address this unique pathophysiology, prioritizing the maintenance of RV coronary perfusion and contractility while cautiously

managing preload and aggressively mitigating increases in pulmonary afterload.⁹

Clinical Manifestations

The clinical presentation of right ventricular dysfunction in the setting of acute myocardial infarction constitutes a distinct hemodynamic and symptomatic profile that, while often overlapping with the features of left ventricular infarction, possesses unique characteristics demanding a high index of clinical suspicion for accurate identification. Patients typically exhibit a constellation of signs and symptoms stemming from the core pathophysiological insult of low cardiac output and systemic hypotension, coupled with the consequences of elevated systemic venous pressure. The most frequently encountered clinical triad, though not universally present, includes pronounced hypotension, distended jugular veins reflecting elevated central venous pressure, and auscultatory clear lung fields, a stark contrast to the pulmonary rales characteristic of left ventricular failure.¹⁰

This hypotension is often refractory to initial fluid challenges and can be profoundly exacerbated by even minimal doses of nitrates or diuretics, therapeutic mainstays in left-sided heart failure that can induce catastrophic preload reduction in the RV-dependent circulation. Subjectively, patients may report severe retrosternal chest pain with typical ischemic characteristics, yet their respiratory status is often notably uncompromised in the absence of concomitant left ventricular dysfunction, presenting without significant dyspnea or orthopnea. However, a profound sensation of fatigue, weakness, and lethargy is commonplace, directly attributable to the critically reduced cardiac output and inadequate systemic perfusion. A pathognomonic finding is the observation of Kussmaul's sign, an inspiratory increase or failure to decrease in jugular venous pressure, which indicates the loss of compliance in the right ventricle and its inability to accommodate the increased venous return during inspiration, a hallmark of restrictive RV physiology.¹⁰

Furthermore, patients may demonstrate pulsus paradoxus, an exaggerated decline in systolic blood pressure during inspiration, and on precordial auscultation, a right ventricular third or fourth heart sound may be audible, often best heard along the lower left sternal border and accentuated by inspiration. In severe cases, the clinical picture can rapidly evolve into overt cardiogenic shock, characterized by cold, clammy extremities, peripheral cyanosis, oliguria or anuria from reduced renal perfusion, and altered mental status due to diminished cerebral blood flow. The presence of these clinical features, particularly in the context of an electrocardiogram suggestive of an inferior or inferoposterior myocardial infarction, should immediately alert the clinician to the high probability of significant right ventricular involvement, necessitating a tailored diagnostic and therapeutic approach that diverges fundamentally from the management of isolated left ventricular pump failure.¹¹

Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Contemporary Reappraisal of Pathophysiological Mechanisms, Prognostic Implications, and Evolving Therapeutic Paradigms

Diagnostic Modalities

The accurate and timely diagnosis of right ventricular dysfunction in acute myocardial infarction necessitates a multifaceted diagnostic approach, integrating data from the clinical examination, electrocardiography, advanced cardiac imaging, and invasive hemodynamic assessment to fully delineate the extent of the injury and guide subsequent therapeutic interventions. The initial diagnostic cornerstone remains the 12-lead electrocardiogram, which, when demonstrating ST-segment elevation in the right precordial leads, particularly V4R, provides a highly specific, albeit transient, indicator of proximal right coronary artery occlusion and concomitant RV myocardial ischemia. However, the electrocardiogram's sensitivity is limited, thereby mandating the utilization of more advanced imaging modalities for comprehensive evaluation.¹¹

Transthoracic echocardiography has emerged as the indispensable, non-invasive cornerstone for the real-time assessment of RV structure and function at the bedside. Standard two-dimensional echocardiography permits the qualitative and quantitative appraisal of RV dilatation, assessed through measurements such as the basal RV diameter, and the evaluation of global systolic function via the fractional area change. Furthermore, M-mode echocardiography-derived tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion serves as a widely utilized and reproducible measure of longitudinal RV function, with a value of less than 17 millimeters being strongly suggestive of significant impairment. The critical phenomenon of ventricular interdependence is visualized through septal kinetics, where paradoxical leftward septal motion or systolic flattening provides direct evidence of RV pressure overload.¹²

The evolution of echocardiographic technology has been pivotal, with the advent of speckle-tracking echocardiography representing a significant paradigm shift. This modality allows for the quantification of myocardial deformation through the calculation of RV global longitudinal strain, a parameter that is less load-dependent and offers superior sensitivity for detecting subtle, subclinical RV myocardial dysfunction that may be overlooked by conventional echocardiographic metrics. Concurrently, Doppler echocardiographic interrogation provides critical hemodynamic data, including the estimation of pulmonary artery systolic pressure from the tricuspid regurgitation jet velocity and the assessment of RV diastolic properties and filling pressures through the analysis of transtricuspid and hepatic venous flow patterns.¹²

In scenarios where echocardiographic windows are suboptimal or when a more precise volumetric and functional assessment is required, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging stands as the non-invasive gold standard. Cardiac magnetic resonance offers unparalleled anatomical definition, allowing for exact quantification of RV volumes, ejection fraction, and tissue characterization, with late gadolinium enhancement

sequences providing direct visual evidence of myocardial necrosis and fibrosis within the RV free wall, thereby confirming the infarct etiology of the dysfunction. For patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention, invasive hemodynamic monitoring via a pulmonary artery catheter, while not routinely indicated in all cases, can yield definitive diagnostic information; the hallmark findings include elevated right atrial pressure, an elevated ratio of right atrial to pulmonary capillary wedge pressure exceeding 0.8, and a characteristic dip-and-plateau pattern in the right ventricular pressure waveform, consistent with a non-compliant, stiffened chamber. The synthesis of these multimodal diagnostic data is imperative, not only for the initial confirmation of right ventricular involvement but also for risk stratification, the guidance of acute management, and the provision of critical prognostic information that shapes the long-term therapeutic strategy for these high-risk patients.¹²

Therapeutic Strategies

The management of right ventricular dysfunction in the context of acute myocardial infarction necessitates a meticulously tailored and physiologically coherent therapeutic paradigm, fundamentally distinct from the protocols established for isolated left ventricular failure, as conventional interventions can precipitate catastrophic hemodynamic collapse in the preload-dependent, afterload-sensitive failing right ventricle. The unequivocal cornerstone of management is the expeditious restoration of coronary blood flow through primary percutaneous coronary intervention, which aims to achieve timely reperfusion of the occluded proximal right coronary artery, thereby salvaging the ischemic myocardium, mitigating the extent of necrosis, and arresting the self-perpetuating cycle of ischemia, dilatation, and declining contractility. Concurrent with revascularization, the meticulous optimization of preload represents a critical and delicate balancing act. While relative intravascular volume depletion often underlies the low-output state, aggressive fluid resuscitation can be deleterious, as it promotes excessive RV dilatation, exacerbates tricuspid regurgitation, and worsens leftward septal shift through ventricular interdependence, thereby further compromising left ventricular filling.^{12,13}

Therefore, cautious fluid challenges, guided by continuous hemodynamic monitoring, are warranted only in the presence of documented hypovolemia or persistent hypotension, with the goal of achieving an adequate but not excessive right atrial pressure.¹³

The pharmacological armamentarium must be deployed with a profound understanding of RV-specific physiology. Inotropic support, most commonly with dobutamine or milrinone, is frequently indispensable to augment the contractile force of the stunned right ventricle and improve cardiac output; however, the vasodilatory properties of these agents, particularly milrinone, necessitate caution due to the

Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Contemporary Reappraisal of Pathophysiological Mechanisms, Prognostic Implications, and Evolving Therapeutic Paradigms

risk of exacerbating systemic hypotension. In such scenarios, the concurrent administration of a vasopressor, such as norepinephrine, is often required to maintain adequate systemic arterial pressure and, crucially, the coronary perfusion gradient essential for RV recovery. The role of afterload reduction is complex and context-dependent; while pulmonary vasodilators like inhaled nitric oxide or epoprostenol can theoretically ameliorate RV afterload, their use is typically reserved for cases with demonstrated elevated pulmonary vascular resistance, as they offer no benefit and may introduce hypotension in the normotensive patient. It is paramount to recognize that medications which reduce preload, including nitrates and morphine, or those that provoke bradycardia, such as beta-blockers, are generally contraindicated in the acute phase of RV infarction, as they can precipitously decrease cardiac output. 14

For patients progressing to refractory cardiogenic shock despite maximal medical therapy and successful revascularization, advanced mechanical circulatory support must be considered. While intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation provides limited benefit for the failing right ventricle, percutaneous right ventricular assist devices, which actively unload the RV and provide pulmonary arterial flow, represent a promising though resource-intensive therapeutic escalation. The synthesis of these strategies—from prompt revascularization to judicious hemodynamic support—into an integrated, RV-centric management algorithm is imperative to navigate the precarious pathophysiology and improve outcomes in this critically ill patient population. 15

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the evolving comprehension of right ventricular dysfunction in acute myocardial infarction has firmly established it as a critical determinant of clinical trajectory and patient prognosis, necessitating a paradigm shift from its historical perception as a mere bystander to its current recognition as a central protagonist in the hemodynamic narrative of coronary occlusion. The intricate pathophysiological cascade, initiated by proximal right coronary artery obstruction and characterized by a self-perpetuating vortex of contractile failure, deleterious ventricular interdependence, and compromised coronary perfusion, underscores the unique vulnerability of the right-sided circulation. The contemporary diagnostic arsenal, extending from the astute interpretation of the clinical examination and electrocardiographic subtleties to the sophisticated quantitative capabilities of advanced echocardiographic techniques like speckle-tracking strain and the definitive tissue characterization offered by cardiac magnetic resonance, allows for a more precise and earlier identification of this high-risk entity.

This refined diagnostic acuity is paramount, as the therapeutic approach to RV infarction diverges fundamentally from the established protocols for left ventricular pump failure,

demanding a physiologically nuanced strategy that prioritizes the maintenance of preload, coronary perfusion pressure, and contractility while cautiously mitigating increases in afterload. The unequivocal foundation of management remains timely revascularization through primary percutaneous coronary intervention, yet the post-procedural course is often dictated by the judicious application of inotropic and vasopressor support, with advanced mechanical circulatory options offering a salvage pathway in cases of refractory cardiogenic shock. The persistent challenges in management, particularly the optimization of supportive care in the reperfusion era, highlight fertile ground for future investigation into targeted pharmacological agents and the refinement of percutaneous support devices. Ultimately, a profound, integrated understanding of the distinct pathophysiology, a vigilant diagnostic approach, and the implementation of an RV-specific management algorithm are indispensable components of comprehensive coronary care, essential for improving survival and functional outcomes in this vulnerable patient cohort.

REFERENCES

- I. M.A. Konstam, M.S. Kiernan, D. Bernstein, *et al.* Evaluation and Management of Right-Sided Heart Failure: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation.*, (2018), 137 pp. E578-e622 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000560>
- II. M. Obokata, Y.N.V. Reddy, V. Melenovsky, S. Pislaru, B.A. Borlaug. Deterioration in right ventricular structure and function over time in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J.*, (2019), 40 pp. 689-697
- III. T.A. McDonagh, M. Metra, M. Adamo, *et al.* 2022 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.*, (2022), 43 pp. 3618-3731 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehac237>
- IV. S. Wen, C. Pislaru, S.R. Ommen, M.J. Ackerman, S.V. Pislaru, J.B. Geske. Right Ventricular Enlargement and Dysfunction Are Associated With Increased All-Cause Mortality in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Mayo Clin Proc.*, (2022), 97 pp. 1123-1133 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.12.005>
- V. A. Gulati, T.F. Ismail, A. Jabbour, *et al.* The Prevalence and Prognostic Significance of Right Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction in Nonischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation.*, (2013), 128 pp. 1623-1633 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002518>
- VI. P. Manca, A. Cannatà, V. Nuzzi, *et al.* Prevalence and Evolution of Right Ventricular Dysfunction

Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Contemporary Reappraisal of Pathophysiological Mechanisms, Prognostic Implications, and Evolving Therapeutic Paradigms

- Among Different Genetic Backgrounds in Dilated Cardiomyopathy.
Can J Cardiol., (2021), 37 pp. 1743-1750
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2021.06.024>
- VII. J.-L. Moya Mur, A. García Martín, A. García Lledó, *et al.* Geometrical and functional cardiac changes after cardiac surgery: a pathophysiological explanation based on speckle tracking. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging., (2018), 34 pp. 1905-1915
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10554-018-1424-z>
- VIII. H.M. Haupt, G.M. Hutchins, G.W. Moore. Right ventricular infarction: role of the moderator band artery in determining infarct size. Circulation., (1983), 67 pp. 1268-1272
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.67.6.1268>
- IX. P. Waskova-Arnostova, B. Elsnicova, D. Kasparova, *et al.* Right-To-Left Ventricular Differences in the Expression of Mitochondrial Hexokinase and Phosphorylation of Akt. Cell Physiol Biochem., (2013), 31 pp. 66-79
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000343350>
- X. J.N. Wessels, J. van Wezenbeek, J. de Rover, *et al.* Right Atrial Adaptation to Precapillary Pulmonary Hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol., (2023), 82 pp. 704-717
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2023.05.063>
- XI. 11.-S. Yamaguchi, H. Harasawa, K.S. Li, D. Zhu, W.P. Santamore. Comparative significance in systolic ventricular interaction. Cardiovasc Res., (1991), 25 pp. 774-783
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cvr/25.9.774>
- XII. F. Haddad, S.A. Hunt, D.N. Rosenthal, D.J. Murphy. Right Ventricular Function in Cardiovascular Disease. Part I. Circulation., (2008), 117 pp. 1436-1448
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.653576>
- XIII. J. Sadoshima, S. Izumo. The cellular and molecular response of cardiac myocytes to mechanical stress. Annu Rev Physiol., (1997), 59 pp. 551-571
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.59.1.551>
- XIV. H.J. Bogaard, K. Abe, A. Vonk Noordegraaf, N.F. Voelkel. The Right Ventricle Under Pressure. Chest., (2009), 135 pp. 794-804
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.08-0492>
- XV. G.R. Stevens, A. Garcia-Alvarez, S. Sahni, M.J. Garcia, V. Fuster, J. Sanz. RV Dysfunction In Pulmonary Hypertension Is Independently Related To Pulmonary Artery Stiffness. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging., (2012), 5 pp. 378-387
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2011.11.020>