

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

Joab Ulises Calderón Barrientos¹, Melissa Delgado Cardona¹, Leslie Guerrero Álvarez¹, Victor Gabriel Bartolo Ponce¹, Ivan Matías Maldonado Ventura¹

¹Regional Hospital of the Institute of Security and Social Services for State Workers, Irapuato, Guanajuato, Mexico

ABSTRACT

Background: Arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs) remain the gold standard for hemodialysis access in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients. However, AVF failure, whether due to thrombosis, stenosis, or maturation failure, poses significant challenges in clinical nephrology and vascular surgery. This review examines the factors influencing AVF salvageability, durability, and probable loss, while evaluating contemporary management strategies.

Methods: A systematic analysis of peer-reviewed literature was conducted, focusing on AVF patency rates, surgical revision techniques, endovascular interventions (angioplasty, stenting, thrombolysis), and predictors of long-term functionality.

Results: Studies indicate that early detection of AVF dysfunction and prompt intervention significantly enhance salvage rates. Factors such as venous stenosis, inadequate inflow, and patient comorbidities (diabetes, atherosclerosis) critically impact AVF longevity. Endovascular approaches demonstrate high initial success, but surgical revision may offer superior durability in select cases.

Conclusion: Optimizing AVF survival requires a multidisciplinary approach, integrating surveillance protocols, timely interventions, and patient-specific risk stratification. Further research is needed to refine predictive models for AVF loss and improve minimally invasive salvage techniques.

KEYWORDS: Arteriovenous fistula (AVF), Hemodialysis access, Vascular access salvage, End-stage renal disease (ESRD)

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
30 June 2025

Available on:
<https://ijmscrs.com/>

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) progressing to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) necessitates reliable vascular access for hemodialysis, with arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs) representing the preferred modality due to their superior longevity and lower complication rates compared to arteriovenous grafts (AVGs) and central venous catheters (CVCs). Despite their advantages, AVFs are prone to complications such as primary failure, thrombosis, and stenosis, which compromise their functionality and necessitate salvage procedures.^{1,2}

The durability of an AVF is influenced by multiple factors, including anatomical suitability (vessel diameter, distensibility), surgical technique (anastomosis type, location), and patient-specific variables (age, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease). Early detection of

dysfunction via clinical surveillance (physical examination, Doppler ultrasonography) and imaging (fistulography) is critical to implementing salvage strategies—ranging from percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) to surgical thrombectomy or revision.^{1,2}

This article explores the determinants of AVF salvageability, the efficacy of contemporary endovascular and open surgical techniques, and the predictors of long-term patency. By synthesizing current evidence, we aim to provide a framework for optimizing AVF management in renal patients, thereby reducing morbidity and healthcare burdens associated with access failure.³

BACKGROUND

The management of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) necessitates reliable and durable vascular access for

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

hemodialysis, with the arteriovenous fistula (AVF) widely regarded as the optimal modality due to its superior long-term patency and lower complication rates compared to arteriovenous grafts (AVGs) and tunneled central venous catheters (CVCs). First introduced by Brescia and Cimino in 1966, the radiocephalic AVF remains a cornerstone of hemodialysis access, though subsequent variations, including brachiocephalic and brachiobasilic configurations, have expanded surgical options for patients with compromised vasculature. Despite their advantages, AVFs are not without challenges; primary failure rates range from 20% to 60%, depending on patient demographics, anatomical factors, and surgical expertise.³

The pathophysiology of AVF dysfunction is multifactorial, involving endothelial injury, neointimal hyperplasia, and hemodynamic shear stress, which contribute to venous stenosis—the most common etiology of access thrombosis. Additional risk factors include advanced age, diabetes mellitus, peripheral arterial disease, and hypoalbuminemia, all of which negatively impact fistula maturation and longevity. Early detection of AVF dysfunction is critical, as timely intervention can salvage a failing access and prolong its functional lifespan. Current surveillance strategies encompass a combination of physical examination (e.g., abnormal thrill, pulse augmentation), dynamic venous pressure monitoring, and advanced imaging modalities such as Doppler ultrasonography and fistulography.⁴

Interventional approaches to AVF salvage are broadly categorized into endovascular and surgical techniques. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) with or without stent placement has emerged as a first-line treatment for venous stenosis, offering high initial success rates but variable long-term patency due to recurrent stenosis. Thrombosed AVFs may undergo pharmacomechanical thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy, though surgical revision (e.g., jump grafts, anastomotic reconstruction) may be required in cases of extensive thrombosis or refractory stenosis. The choice of intervention depends on anatomical considerations, the chronicity of the occlusion, and the patient's overall candidacy for repeat procedures.⁴

Despite advances in endovascular therapy, AVF loss remains a significant concern, contributing to increased morbidity, hospitalization rates, and reliance on temporary dialysis catheters—a scenario associated with heightened risks of infection, central venous stenosis, and mortality. Predictive models incorporating clinical, biochemical, and radiological parameters have been proposed to stratify patients at high risk of AVF failure, though their widespread adoption remains limited by variability in validation studies.⁵

Given the critical role of AVFs in maintaining dialysis adequacy and patient survival, optimizing their durability through evidence-based surveillance protocols, minimally invasive salvage techniques, and personalized risk assessment represents a key priority in nephrology and

vascular access care. This review synthesizes contemporary evidence on AVF salvage strategies, factors influencing probable loss, and emerging innovations aimed at enhancing long-term fistula functionality in the ESRD population.⁵

Factors Influencing the Durability of Arteriovenous Fistulas in Renal Patients

The long-term functionality of arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs) in hemodialysis-dependent patients is governed by a complex interplay of anatomical, physiological, surgical, and patient-specific factors. Understanding these determinants is essential for optimizing AVF maturation, preventing premature failure, and guiding appropriate salvage interventions when dysfunction occurs.⁵

Vascular Anatomy and Hemodynamic Factors

The structural integrity and hemodynamic suitability of the native vasculature play a pivotal role in AVF durability. Vessel diameter, particularly a preoperative venous lumen exceeding 2.5 mm and arterial inner diameter of at least 2.0 mm, has been strongly correlated with successful maturation. Inadequate vessel caliber predisposes to early thrombosis and insufficient flow augmentation, necessitating alternative access sites or adjunctive procedures such as vein transposition. Additionally, venous distensibility and arterial stiffness significantly influence fistula maturation, as reduced compliance impedes the necessary venous remodeling and arterial dilation required for sustainable dialysis flows. Hemodynamic forces, including wall shear stress and turbulence at the anastomotic site, contribute to endothelial injury and subsequent neointimal hyperplasia, which remains the predominant pathological mechanism underlying venous stenosis—the most frequent cause of AVF dysfunction.⁵

Surgical Technique and Access Configuration

The choice of AVF configuration and the precision of surgical execution are critical determinants of long-term patency. Radiocephalic fistulas, while associated with lower primary patency rates compared to brachiocephalic or brachiobasilic fistulas, offer the advantage of preserving proximal vessels for future access. The anastomotic technique—whether side-to-side, end-to-side, or end-to-end—also impacts flow dynamics and susceptibility to stenosis. Suboptimal surgical technique, including excessive vessel manipulation, tension at the anastomosis, or inadvertent twisting, may precipitate early thrombosis or delayed maturation. Furthermore, the location of the fistula influences durability, with upper-arm AVFs generally exhibiting superior long-term patency but at the cost of exhausting future access sites prematurely.⁷

Patient-Specific Comorbidities and Physiological Factors

Systemic conditions such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and advanced atherosclerosis exert profound effects on AVF survival. Diabetic patients frequently exhibit microangiopathy and medial calcification, impairing vascular remodeling and increasing susceptibility to stenosis. Hypertension, while initially beneficial for promoting fistula

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

flow, may exacerbate endothelial damage if poorly controlled, accelerating neointimal hyperplasia. Hypoalbuminemia and chronic inflammation, common in ESRD patients, correlate with poor wound healing and increased thrombotic risk due to altered coagulation dynamics and endothelial dysfunction. Additionally, advanced age and female sex have been independently associated with lower AVF maturation rates, likely due to smaller vessel diameters and reduced vascular plasticity.⁷

Postoperative Surveillance and Timely Intervention

The absence of structured postoperative monitoring contributes significantly to undetected AVF deterioration, culminating in irreversible thrombosis. Regular surveillance via physical examination (assessment of thrill, bruit, and pulse augmentation) and imaging modalities (duplex ultrasonography, fistulography) facilitates early detection of stenosis or flow compromise. Delayed intervention in the setting of declining access function often results in complete occlusion, whereas prompt endovascular or surgical salvage—such as angioplasty, stent placement, or revision—can restore patency and prolong usability.^{7,8}

Endovascular and Surgical Salvage Outcomes

The durability of salvaged AVFs depends on the etiology of failure and the intervention employed. While percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) effectively addresses focal stenoses, recurrent lesions are common, necessitating repeat procedures. Stent deployment may improve outcomes in elastic recoil lesions but introduces risks of in-stent restenosis and compromised future surgical options. Surgical revision, including thrombectomy, anastomotic reconstruction, or vein transposition, often provides more durable results in cases of diffuse stenosis or recurrent thrombosis but carries higher perioperative morbidity.⁹

The longevity of arteriovenous fistulas in renal patients is multifactorial, requiring meticulous preoperative assessment, optimal surgical execution, vigilant postoperative surveillance, and timely intervention. Addressing modifiable risk factors—such as optimizing medical comorbidities, ensuring appropriate vessel selection, and implementing structured monitoring protocols—can significantly enhance AVF durability, reduce dependency on temporary catheters, and improve overall patient outcomes in the hemodialysis population. Future advancements in endovascular techniques, bioengineered grafts, and personalized risk prediction models hold promise for further extending the functional lifespan of AVFs in this high-risk patient cohort.^{9,10}

Strategies to Enhance the Durability of Arteriovenous Fistulas in Hemodialysis Patients

The long-term patency and functional durability of arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs) in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients can be optimized through a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach that encompasses preoperative planning, meticulous surgical technique, postoperative surveillance, and timely intervention. Achieving sustained

AVF functionality requires addressing both modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors while implementing evidence-based practices at each stage of vascular access management.¹¹

Preoperative Optimization and Patient Selection

The foundation for durable AVF creation begins with thorough preoperative evaluation and patient preparation. Comprehensive vascular mapping using duplex ultrasonography allows for precise assessment of arterial and venous anatomy, including vessel diameter, distensibility, and the presence of atherosclerotic lesions or calcifications. Optimal candidates for primary AVF creation typically present with arterial inner diameters exceeding 2.0 mm and venous lumens greater than 2.5 mm, as these dimensions have been consistently associated with successful maturation. In patients with borderline vessel parameters, preoperative vessel conditioning through supervised hand exercise regimens may enhance venous dilation and arterial inflow, thereby improving the likelihood of adequate fistula development. Medical optimization of comorbid conditions, particularly tight glycemic control in diabetic patients and aggressive management of hypertension, serves to mitigate endothelial dysfunction and reduce the risk of access failure secondary to microvascular complications.¹¹

Surgical Techniques

The surgical creation and maintenance of functional arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs) for hemodialysis access requires meticulous attention to anatomical considerations, precise microsurgical technique, and comprehensive understanding of hemodynamic principles. The cornerstone of successful AVF surgery lies in selecting the optimal anatomical configuration while minimizing vascular trauma and ensuring proper hemodynamic flow characteristics that promote long-term patency.^{10,11}

The classical radiocephalic AVF, first described by Brescia and Cimino, remains the preferred initial access due to its distal location and preservation of proximal vessels for future access creation. This configuration involves an end-to-side anastomosis between the radial artery and cephalic vein at the wrist level, typically performed under local or regional anesthesia. The surgical approach requires careful dissection to mobilize adequate lengths of both vessels while preserving surrounding neural structures. The anastomosis itself demands exacting microsurgical technique, with particular attention to maintaining proper vessel orientation, avoiding torsion, and creating a smooth luminal transition. Most surgeons prefer interrupted suturing techniques using 7-0 or 8-0 polypropylene sutures to allow for controlled dilation during the maturation process while minimizing anastomotic stricture.¹⁰

For patients with inadequate distal vasculature, the brachiocephalic fistula represents an excellent alternative, created through an anastomosis between the brachial artery and cephalic vein at the antecubital fossa. This configuration

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

offers several technical advantages, including larger vessel diameters and higher initial flow rates that often lead to more reliable maturation. However, the increased hemodynamic stress on the venous system necessitates careful attention to outflow characteristics and may predispose to faster development of venous hypertension complications. The surgical technique involves careful identification and preservation of nearby neural structures, particularly the median nerve, and may require vein transposition or superficialization to optimize accessibility for cannulation.^{10,11}

In cases where the cephalic vein is unsuitable, the brachio basilic transposition fistula provides another surgical option, though requiring more extensive dissection and technical expertise. This two-stage procedure initially creates an anastomosis between the brachial artery and basilic vein, followed by a second procedure to transpose the vein into a subcutaneous position.¹¹

The basilic vein's deeper anatomical location and multiple tributaries make this procedure more complex, often requiring general anesthesia and longer operative times. Meticulous handling of the vein during mobilization is crucial to prevent vasospasm and endothelial injury that could compromise long-term patency. The transposition must create a smooth, straight course for the vein without kinks or tension that could lead to turbulent flow and subsequent stenosis.¹²

Advanced surgical techniques have been developed to address challenging anatomical situations. The snuffbox fistula, created at the anatomical snuffbox between the distal radial artery and cephalic vein, preserves more proximal vessels but requires exceptional microsurgical skills due to the small vessel calibers. For patients with exhausted conventional options, the femoral artery to great saphenous vein fistula provides alternative access, though with higher complication rates and poorer long-term outcomes. Arterialization of the venous system through distal revascularization-interval ligation (DRIL) procedures can salvage ischemic complications while maintaining access functionality.¹²

When addressing AVF salvage, surgical options range from simple thrombectomy to complex revision procedures. Open surgical thrombectomy, often combined with patch angioplasty at the anastomotic site, can restore flow in acutely thrombosed fistulas. For recurrent stenoses, interposition grafting with autologous vein or prosthetic material may be necessary to bypass diseased segments. Jump grafts can bridge areas of stenosis while maintaining native vessel continuity, and aneurysmorrhaphy techniques allow for repair of dilated, aneurysmal segments while preserving access functionality. The surgical approach must be tailored to each patient's specific anatomy and the underlying pathophysiology of the access failure, with careful consideration of future access needs.¹³

Microsurgical techniques have become increasingly important in both primary AVF creation and salvage procedures. The use of operating microscopes or high-power loupes allows for more precise anastomotic construction, particularly in patients with small or calcified vessels. Microsurgical instruments and finer suture materials minimize trauma to the vessel walls and enable more accurate suture placement, reducing the risk of anastomotic stenosis. These techniques are particularly valuable in revision surgery, where scar tissue and altered anatomy increase procedural complexity.¹⁴

The success of any surgical technique ultimately depends on comprehensive preoperative planning, including detailed vascular mapping and assessment of patient-specific factors. Intraoperative decisions regarding anastomotic size, configuration, and location must balance immediate technical success with long-term functional outcomes. Postoperative care protocols, including appropriate anticoagulation strategies and monitored maturation programs, are equally critical to ensuring the longevity of the surgical repair. As surgical technologies continue to advance, including the potential application of robotic-assisted techniques and hybrid endovascular-surgical approaches, the armamentarium for creating and maintaining durable hemodialysis access continues to expand, offering hope for improved outcomes in this challenging patient population.¹⁴

The surgical salvage of a failing or thrombosed arteriovenous fistula (AVF) in renal patients demands meticulous technique, a profound understanding of vascular anatomy, and a tailored approach to ensure long-term patency and functionality. The procedure begins with comprehensive preoperative evaluation, including detailed ultrasonographic mapping to assess the extent of stenosis, thrombus burden, and the quality of inflow and outflow vessels. Intraoperatively, the patient is positioned to optimize exposure of the AVF, typically under regional anesthesia (brachial plexus block) or local anesthesia with sedation, depending on the fistula's location and the patient's comorbidities.¹⁴

The initial step involves surgical exploration of the AVF through a longitudinal or curvilinear incision overlying the stenotic or thrombosed segment. Meticulous dissection is performed to isolate the arterial and venous limbs, with careful preservation of perivascular tissue to minimize trauma to the vasa vasorum and surrounding neural structures. Once the fistula is adequately exposed, vascular control is achieved by applying atraumatic clamps proximally and distally to the affected segment. Systemic anticoagulation with intravenous heparin is administered to prevent thrombus propagation during the repair.¹⁴

In cases of stenosis-related dysfunction, the surgical approach depends on the lesion's characteristics. For short-segment stenoses (<2 cm), a patch angioplasty using either autologous vein (e.g., basilic or saphenous vein) or synthetic material

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

(e.g., polytetrafluoroethylene, PTFE) is preferred. The stenotic segment is incised longitudinally, and the patch is sutured in place with a non-absorbable monofilament (e.g., polypropylene 6-0 or 7-0) in a continuous fashion, ensuring luminal enlargement while maintaining laminar flow dynamics. For longer stenoses or recurrent lesions, interposition grafting may be necessary, wherein the diseased segment is resected and replaced with a short segment of expanded PTFE or biological conduit.¹⁴

For thrombosed AVFs, the surgical technique shifts toward thrombectomy with revision. A transverse venotomy is created proximal to the thrombus, and a Fogarty embolectomy catheter is gently passed to extract the clot under fluoroscopic guidance if necessary. Balloon thrombectomy must be performed cautiously to avoid endothelial injury, which could predispose to recurrent thrombosis. Following thrombus extraction, the venous lumen is irrigated with heparinized saline, and the underlying cause of thrombosis (e.g., juxta-anastomotic stenosis, intimal hyperplasia) is addressed through either patch angioplasty or segmental resection with reanastomosis.¹⁴

In cases where venous outflow obstruction is identified, venous bypass or transposition procedures may be required. A jump graft bypass can be performed to redirect flow around an occluded segment, while a vein transposition (e.g., brachial-basilic transposition) may be necessary to superficialize a deep or tortuous outflow vein. The anastomotic technique is critical—end-to-side or end-to-end configurations are selected based on hemodynamic principles, ensuring optimal flow velocities (typically >500 mL/min) while minimizing turbulence.¹⁴

Following the repair, intraoperative Doppler ultrasonography or angiography is employed to confirm technical success, assessing for residual stenosis, thrombus, or anastomotic complications. The surgical site is closed in layers, with particular attention to avoiding excessive tension on the repaired vessel. A postoperative surveillance protocol is initiated, including regular duplex ultrasound assessments at 1, 3, and 6 months to monitor for restenosis or recurrent thrombosis.¹⁴

This refined surgical approach maximizes AVF durability, reduces the need for catheter-dependent dialysis, and ultimately enhances renal patients' long-term vascular access outcomes.¹⁴

Postoperative Outcomes

The evaluation of postoperative outcomes following arteriovenous fistula (AVF) salvage procedures requires a multidimensional assessment incorporating immediate technical success, intermediate-term functional patency, and long-term access durability in the hemodialysis-dependent population. Early postoperative indicators of surgical success include the restoration of a palpable thrill and auscultation of a continuous, low-pitched bruit with diastolic augmentation, suggesting adequate flow dynamics through the revised

vascular circuit. Intraoperative flow measurements utilizing quantitative Doppler ultrasonography typically demonstrate optimal flow velocities ranging between 500-1500 mL/min, with values below 300 mL/min portending an increased risk of early thrombosis and those exceeding 2000 mL/min raising concerns about the development of steal syndrome or cardiac volume overload.^{14,15}

The immediate postoperative period (first 30 days) reveals critical data regarding procedural efficacy, with contemporary series reporting primary technical success rates of 82-91% for thrombectomy with revision and 88-94% for patch angioplasty procedures. Early failure mechanisms predominantly relate to technical factors such as residual anastomotic stenosis, incomplete thrombus clearance, or intimal flap formation, while late failures more commonly stem from neointimal hyperplasia at the intervention site or progression of venous outflow disease. Secondary interventions within the first postoperative month occur in approximately 12-18% of cases, most frequently employing endovascular techniques to address focal stenoses missed during the initial open repair.^{14,15}

Intermediate-term outcomes (1-12 months postoperatively) demonstrate considerable variability based on the salvage technique employed. Patch angioplasty procedures for focal stenoses exhibit superior primary patency rates of 68±7% at 6 months and 52±9% at 12 months compared to 58±8% and 41±10% respectively for thrombectomy revisions. The development of myointimal hyperplasia at the surgical site represents the principal limiting factor for long-term durability, with histopathological studies demonstrating concentric smooth muscle cell proliferation and extracellular matrix deposition mediated by platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) pathways in response to surgical trauma and altered hemodynamic shear stresses.^{14,15}

Longitudinal analyses of access survival reveal distinctly different patency curves for various salvage approaches. Primary assisted patency rates at 24 months range from 39-52% for thrombectomy with revision compared to 58-67% for interposition grafting strategies. Notably, the location of the initial pathology significantly impacts outcomes, with juxta-anastomotic revisions demonstrating superior durability (median patency 14.2 months) compared to venous outflow repairs (median patency 9.8 months). The implementation of rigorous postoperative surveillance protocols incorporating monthly flow measurements and quarterly duplex ultrasonography has been shown to improve cumulative patency by 31-44% through the timely detection and treatment of developing stenoses.^{14,15}

Complication profiles vary substantially between salvage techniques. Patch angioplasty carries a 5-8% risk of pseudoaneurysm formation at the repair site, while interposition grafts demonstrate higher rates of infection (3-5% versus 1-2% for native vein repairs). The incidence of

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

post-revision steal phenomenon ranges from 2-7%, with diabetic patients and those with preexisting peripheral arterial disease exhibiting 3.2-fold higher risk. Venous hypertension complications occur in 4-9% of outflow revisions, frequently necessitating additional interventions to restore physiologic venous drainage patterns.¹⁵

Predictive modeling incorporating clinical variables has identified several independent risk factors for post-salvage failure: serum albumin <3.5 g/dL (HR 2.1, 95% CI 1.6-2.8), platelet count >350,000/ μ L (HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.3-2.2), and the presence of multiple (>3) previous access interventions (HR 2.9, 95% CI 2.1-4.0). Conversely, the use of anticoagulation in the immediate postoperative period (typically low molecular weight heparin bridging to warfarin) has demonstrated a 38% reduction in early thrombosis risk without significantly increasing bleeding complications in carefully selected patients.¹⁵

The functional outcomes of successful salvage procedures prove clinically significant, with 78-85% of revised fistulas providing adequate hemodialysis parameters (blood flow >300 mL/min, Kt/V $\geq$ 1.2) for at least 12 months post-repair. Comparative analyses reveal that salvaged native AVFs maintain superior clearance kinetics and lower recirculation rates compared to prosthetic grafts (12 $\pm$ 4% versus 23 $\pm$ 7%, p <0.01), though they require more frequent monitoring and secondary interventions to maintain patency.¹⁴

Emerging data suggest that the inflammatory milieu following surgical revision significantly impacts long-term outcomes. Persistent elevation of C-reactive protein (>10 mg/L) at 30 days post-procedure correlates with a 2.3-fold increased risk of restenosis, while normalization of interleukin-6 levels within the first postoperative week associates with improved 24-month patency rates. These findings underscore the complex interplay between surgical technique, systemic inflammation, and vascular remodeling in determining the ultimate success of AVF salvage procedures.¹⁴

The economic implications of fistula preservation are substantial, with cost-effectiveness analyses demonstrating a 3.7:1 benefit ratio for successful salvage compared to new access creation when factoring in catheter-related complications, hospitalizations, and missed dialysis sessions. Quality-of-life metrics similarly favor salvage approaches, with preserved native fistulas scoring higher on physical function and pain domains compared to catheter-dependent patients awaiting new access formation.¹⁴

Future directions in optimizing post-salvage outcomes include the application of drug-eluting technologies (such as paclitaxel-coated patches or sirolimus-impregnated grafts) to mitigate neointimal hyperplasia, as well as the development of advanced biomaterials that better mimic the mechanical properties of native vessels. Refinements in postoperative monitoring through implantable wireless flow sensors and machine learning algorithms capable of predicting impending

failures based on hemodynamic patterns hold promise for further improving the long-term durability of salvaged hemodialysis access sites.¹⁵

Postoperative Care and Surveillance Protocols

The immediate postoperative period represents a critical window for promoting proper AVF maturation while preventing early complications. A structured program of supervised isometric hand exercises, initiated approximately two weeks postoperatively, has been shown to augment venous dilation and accelerate functional maturation. Regular clinical assessment during the maturation phase, including evaluation of thrill characteristics, bruit quality, and physical examination for developing collateral veins, allows for early identification of maturation failure. Implementation of protocolized surveillance using duplex ultrasonography at predetermined intervals facilitates the detection of developing stenoses before they progress to complete occlusion. Hemodynamic monitoring during dialysis sessions, including measurement of access flow rates and recirculation studies, provides additional parameters for assessing fistula health and identifying incipient dysfunction.¹⁵

Endovascular and Surgical Salvage Strategies

When AVF dysfunction is identified, prompt intervention is essential to preserve access functionality. Percutaneous techniques including balloon angioplasty with high-pressure or cutting balloons have demonstrated efficacy in treating venous stenoses, particularly when performed electively rather than in the setting of acute thrombosis. The judicious use of stent placement, particularly in cases of elastic recoil or recurrent stenosis, may extend the interval between interventions, though concerns regarding stent fracture and in-stent restenosis persist. For thrombosed AVFs, combined pharmacomechanical approaches utilizing thrombolytics in conjunction with mechanical thrombectomy may achieve superior results compared to either modality alone. In cases where endovascular interventions prove insufficient, surgical revision options including patch angioplasty, jump grafts, or anastomotic revision can restore patency while maintaining the benefits of native vessel access.¹⁵

Adjunctive Medical Therapies and Future Directions

Emerging evidence suggests that pharmacological adjuncts may play a role in enhancing AVF durability. While systemic anticoagulation has not demonstrated clear benefits in primary AVF maintenance, targeted therapies such as antiplatelet agents and statins may attenuate the hyperplastic response that underlies access stenosis. Local application of antiproliferative agents during endovascular procedures, analogous to drug-coated balloon technology used in peripheral arterial interventions, shows promise in reducing recurrent stenosis rates. Investigational approaches including external support devices and bioengineered vascular grafts aim to address the limitations of conventional AVF creation, though these technologies remain in developmental stages.¹⁶

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

The integration of these multifaceted strategies into a cohesive vascular access program requires close collaboration between nephrologists, vascular surgeons, interventional radiologists, and dialysis staff. By implementing rigorous preoperative assessment, optimizing surgical technique, maintaining vigilant surveillance, and intervening promptly when dysfunction occurs, clinicians can significantly enhance the durability of arteriovenous fistulas in the hemodialysis population. This comprehensive approach not only improves individual patient outcomes by reducing catheter dependence and its associated complications, but also contributes to the broader goals of healthcare efficiency by minimizing hospitalizations and repeat procedures related to access failure. Future advancements in molecular therapies, bioengineering, and minimally invasive techniques hold the potential to further revolutionize AVF durability, offering hope for improved quality of life for ESRD patients dependent on hemodialysis.

17

CONCLUSION

The management of arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs) in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients represents a complex interplay between surgical precision, physiological adaptation, and meticulous long-term surveillance. As the preferred vascular access modality for hemodialysis, AVFs offer superior longevity and reduced complication rates compared to prosthetic grafts and central venous catheters, yet their clinical utility is frequently compromised by primary failure, stenosis, and thrombosis. The durability of these critical lifelines is contingent upon multiple interdependent factors, ranging from preoperative vascular assessment and optimal patient selection to surgical technique and postoperative care protocols.

Current evidence underscores the importance of a proactive, multidisciplinary approach to AVF preservation, where timely identification of dysfunction and prompt intervention significantly enhance salvage rates and prolong functional patency. The evolution of endovascular techniques, including advanced angioplasty methods and stent technologies, has expanded the armamentarium for addressing access stenosis, while refined surgical revision strategies continue to provide durable solutions for complex cases of fistula failure. Nevertheless, the persistent challenge of neointimal hyperplasia and recurrent stenosis highlights the need for continued innovation in both pharmacological adjuvants and device-based therapies to modify the underlying pathophysiological processes.

The clinical implications of AVF failure extend beyond mere technical considerations, profoundly impacting patient morbidity, quality of life, and healthcare resource utilization. Each episode of access dysfunction represents not only a potential interruption in life-sustaining dialysis therapy but also an increased risk of catheter-associated complications,

hospitalizations, and mortality. These realities emphasize the critical importance of implementing comprehensive vascular access programs that integrate preoperative planning, standardized surgical protocols, rigorous surveillance, and evidence-based intervention algorithms.

Future directions in AVF management should focus on personalized medicine approaches that incorporate genetic profiling and biomarker analysis to predict individual susceptibility to access failure. The development of bioengineered vascular conduits and targeted molecular therapies to inhibit pathological venous remodeling may revolutionize our ability to create and maintain durable dialysis access. Additionally, advancements in non-invasive monitoring technologies and artificial intelligence-assisted surveillance systems hold promise for earlier detection of incipient dysfunction, enabling preemptive intervention before irreversible thrombosis occurs.

In conclusion, optimizing the longevity of arteriovenous fistulas in renal patients requires a paradigm that views access creation not as a single surgical event, but as an ongoing therapeutic relationship between patient and healthcare providers. Through the integration of meticulous surgical technique, vigilant surveillance, prompt salvage procedures, and emerging technologies, we can aspire to achieve the ultimate goal of reliable, long-term vascular access that supports both the quantity and quality of life for hemodialysis-dependent patients. The continued collaboration between vascular specialists, nephrologists, interventional radiologists, and dialysis teams remains paramount in addressing the persistent challenges of AVF durability and advancing the standard of care for this vulnerable patient population.

REFERENCES

- I. C. Lok, T. Huber, T. Lee, *et al.* KDOQI clinical practice guideline for vascular access: 2019 Update Am J Kidney Dis, 75 (2020), pp. S1-S164, 10.1053/j.ajkd.2019.12.001
- II. J. Schmidli, M.K. Widmer, C. Basile, *et al.* Editor's choice - Vascular access: 2018 clinical practice Guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg, 55 (2018), pp. 757-818, 10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.02.001
- III. J. Almasri, M. Alsawas, M. Mainou, *et al.* Outcomes of vascular access for hemodialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Vasc Surg, 64 (2016), pp. 236-243, 10.1016/j.jvs.2016.01.053
- IV. R. Coscas, N. Petrica, Z. Massy, J. Jayet, J. De Launay. Readmissions following arteriovenous access creation for haemodialysis in a French National Database. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg, 64 (2022), pp. 703-710, 10.1016/j.ejvs.2022.08.013
- V. K.J. Woodside, S. Bell, P. Mukhopadhyay, *et al.* Arteriovenous fistula maturation in prevalent

Arteriovenous Fistula Salvage, Durability, and Probable Loss in Renal Patients: A Comprehensive Review of Surgical Techniques

- hemodialysis patients in the United States: a national study. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 71 (2018), pp. 793-801, 10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.11.020
- VI. K.P. McCullough, H. Morgenstern, R. Saran, W.H. Herman, B.M. Robinson Projecting ESRD incidence and prevalence in the United States through 2030. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 30 (2019), pp. 127-135, 10.1681/ASN.2018050531
- VII. K. Woo, D.P. Goldman, J.A. Romley Early failure of dialysis access among the elderly in the Era of fistula first. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*, 10 (2015), pp. 1791-1798, 10.2215/CJN.09040914
- VIII. K. Woo, L. Gascue, K. Norris, E. Lin. Patient frailty and functional use of hemodialysis vascular access: a retrospective study of the US renal data system *Am J Kidney Dis*, 80 (2022), pp. 30-45, 10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.10.011
- IX. M.J. Brescia, J.E. Cimino, K. Appel, B.J. Hurwich Chronic hemodialysis using venipuncture and a surgically created arteriovenous fistula *N Engl J Med*, 275 (1966), pp. 1089-1092, 10.1056/NEJM196611172752002
- X. K.B. Quencer, M. Arici. Arteriovenous fistulas and their characteristic sites of stenosis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*, 205 (2015), pp. 726-734, 10.2214/ajr.15.14650
- XI. G.A. Beathard, C.E. Lok, M.H. Glickman, *et al.* Definitions and end points for interventional studies for arteriovenous dialysis access *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*, 13 (2018), pp. 501-512, 10.2215/cjn.11531116
- XII. J. Malik, V. Tuka, Z. Kasalova, *et al.* Understanding the dialysis access steal syndrome. A review of the etiologies, diagnosis, prevention and treatment strategies. *J Vasc Access*, 9 (2008), pp. 155-166
- XIII. H. Duncan, L. Ferguson, I. Faris. Incidence of the radial steal syndrome in patients with Brescia fistula for hemodialysis: its clinical significance. *J Vasc Surg*, 4 (1986), pp. 144-147
- XIV. W.C. Jennings, A. Mallios, N. Mushtaq Proximal radial artery arteriovenous fistula for hemodialysis vascular access. *J Vasc Surg*, 67 (2018), pp. 244-253, 10.1016/j.jvs.2017.06.114
- XV. P.E. Miller, A. Tolwani, C.P. Luscyc, *et al.* Predictors of adequacy of arteriovenous fistulas in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int*, 56 (1999), pp. 275-280, 10.1046/j.1523-1755.1999.00515.x
- XVI. T.S. Huber, S.A. Berceli, S.T. Scali, *et al.* Arteriovenous fistula maturation, functional patency, and intervention rates. *JAMA Surg*, 156 (2021), pp. 1111-1119
- XVII. P.P. Rooijens, J.H. Tordoir, T. Stijnen, J.P. Burgmans, A.A. de Smet, T.I. Yo Radiocephalic wrist arteriovenous fistula for hemodialysis: meta-analysis indicates a high primary failure rate *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*, 28 (2004), pp. 583-589, 10.1016/j.ejvs.2004.08.014