International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Research Studies

ISSN(print): 2767-8326, ISSN(online): 2767-8342

Volume 05 Issue 03 March 2025

Page No: 492-498

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmscrs/v5-i03-16, Impact Factor: 8.188

Microsurgical Revascularization in Aesthetic and Reconstructive Implant-Based Plastic Surgery: Techniques, Outcomes, and Clinical Implications

Abigail Berenice Gómez Valenzuela[1], Angélica Estefanía Carpinteiro Valero[2], Joab Ulises Calderón Barrientos[1]

[1]Hospital Regional Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado. Irapuato, Guanajuato, México.

[2]Hospital General con Especialidades Juan María de Salvatierra. La Paz, Baja California, México.

ABSTRACT

The integration of microsurgical revascularization techniques in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery has revolutionized the field, offering enhanced outcomes in both functional and aesthetic dimensions. This article explores the application of microsurgical methods, particularly in the context of revascularizing autologous and alloplastic implants, to optimize graft survival, reduce complications, and improve long-term results. By leveraging advanced microvascular anastomosis, surgeons can address challenges such as implant ischemia, capsular contracture, and soft tissue necrosis, which are critical in achieving patient satisfaction and surgical success. This review synthesizes current evidence, surgical protocols, and case studies to highlight the efficacy of microsurgical revascularization in complex aesthetic and reconstructive scenarios. Furthermore, it discusses the implications of these techniques for patient selection, preoperative planning, and postoperative care, providing a comprehensive framework for plastic surgeons aiming to incorporate microsurgery into their practice.

KEYWORDS: Microsurgery, Revascularization, Aesthetic Implants, Reconstructive Surgery, Plastic Surgery, Microvascular Anastomosis, Implant Ischemia, Capsular Contracture, Autologous Grafts, Alloplastic Implants.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
15 March 2025

Available on:
https://ijmscr.org/

INTRODUCTION

The field of plastic surgery has witnessed remarkable advancements over the past few decades, with microsurgical techniques emerging as a cornerstone in both aesthetic and reconstructive procedures. Among these, microsurgical revascularization has gained significant traction, particularly in the context of implant-based surgeries, where vascular supply plays a pivotal role in determining outcomes. Aesthetic and reconstructive implant procedures, whether utilizing autologous tissue or synthetic materials, are inherently dependent on adequate perfusion to ensure graft viability, minimize complications, and achieve optimal aesthetic results. However, challenges such as implant ischemia, capsular contracture, and soft tissue necrosis have historically posed significant hurdles, often leading to suboptimal outcomes and patient dissatisfaction.1,2

Microsurgical revascularization, characterized by the precise anastomosis of microvessels, offers a promising solution to these challenges. By restoring vascular supply to compromised tissues or implants, this technique not only enhances graft survival but also mitigates the risk of complications, thereby improving both functional and aesthetic outcomes. In aesthetic plastic surgery, microsurgical revascularization has been increasingly employed in procedures such as breast augmentation, facial implants, and gluteal augmentation, where the demand for natural-looking results is paramount. Similarly, in reconstructive surgery, it has proven invaluable in complex cases such as post-mastectomy breast reconstruction, trauma-related defects, and congenital anomalies.2,3

This article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the role of microsurgical revascularization in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery. By examining the underlying principles, surgical techniques, and clinical outcomes, we seek to elucidate the benefits and limitations of this approach. Additionally, we will explore the implications for patient selection, preoperative planning, and postoperative management, offering practical insights for

surgeons seeking to integrate microsurgical revascularization into their practice. Through a synthesis of current literature and illustrative case studies, this review underscores the transformative potential of microsurgery in advancing the field of plastic surgery, ultimately enhancing patient care and satisfaction.3,4

BACKGROUND

The evolution of plastic surgery has been marked by a continuous pursuit of innovation, driven by the dual objectives of restoring form and function while achieving aesthetically pleasing outcomes. Among the most significant advancements in this field is the development and refinement of microsurgical techniques, which have fundamentally transformed the approach to both aesthetic and reconstructive procedures. Microsurgery, characterized by the use of high-powered magnification and precision instruments to perform intricate vascular and neural anastomoses, has enabled surgeons to address complex clinical challenges with unprecedented accuracy and success. Within this domain, microsurgical revascularization has emerged as a critical tool, particularly in the context of implant-based surgeries, where vascular integrity is paramount to ensuring graft survival and minimizing complications.4

Historically, the use of implants in plastic surgery—whether autologous, alloplastic, or synthetic—has been fraught with challenges related to vascular supply. Implant ischemia, capsular contracture, and soft tissue necrosis have been persistent issues, often leading to suboptimal outcomes and necessitating revision surgeries. The advent of microsurgical revascularization techniques has provided a viable solution to these problems, offering a means to restore and enhance blood flow to compromised tissues and implants. This approach has been particularly transformative in the realm of aesthetic surgery, where the demand for natural-looking, long-lasting results is exceptionally high. Procedures such as breast augmentation, facial implants, and gluteal augmentation have benefited significantly from the integration of microsurgical principles, enabling surgeons to achieve superior outcomes with reduced complication rates.4

In reconstructive surgery, microsurgical revascularization has played an equally pivotal role, particularly in complex cases such as post-mastectomy breast reconstruction, trauma-related defects, and congenital anomalies. The ability to revascularize autologous tissue flaps or synthetic implants has expanded the scope of reconstructive possibilities, allowing for the restoration of both form and function in patients with significant tissue loss or deformity. Furthermore, the application of microsurgical techniques has facilitated the development of novel approaches, such as perforator flap surgery, which minimizes donor site morbidity while maximizing aesthetic and functional outcomes.5

The scientific literature underscores the efficacy of microsurgical revascularization in improving graft survival rates and reducing complications. Studies have demonstrated that the restoration of vascular supply through microvascular anastomosis significantly enhances the viability of both autologous and alloplastic implants, leading to improved long-term results. Additionally, the integration of microsurgical techniques has been shown to reduce the incidence of capsular contracture, a common complication in implant-based surgeries, by promoting adequate perfusion and reducing inflammatory responses.5

Despite these advancements, the adoption of microsurgical revascularization in plastic surgery remains contingent upon several factors, including surgeon expertise, patient selection, and access to specialized equipment. The steep learning curve associated with microsurgical techniques necessitates extensive training and experience, while the complexity of these procedures requires meticulous preoperative planning and postoperative management. Nevertheless, the growing body of evidence supporting the benefits of microsurgical revascularization has spurred increased interest and adoption within the plastic surgery community.5

In summary, the integration of microsurgical revascularization techniques in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery represents a significant milestone in the evolution of the field. By addressing the critical issue of vascular supply, this approach has enabled surgeons to overcome longstanding challenges and achieve superior outcomes. As the demand for both aesthetic and reconstructive procedures continues to grow, the role of microsurgery in enhancing patient care and satisfaction is likely to become increasingly prominent. This article seeks to explore the historical context, technical advancements, and clinical applications of microsurgical revascularization, providing a comprehensive overview of its transformative impact on plastic surgery.6

CURRENT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES

The application of microsurgical revascularization in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery encompasses a diverse array of techniques, each tailored to address specific clinical challenges and anatomical considerations. These techniques leverage the principles of microvascular surgery, including meticulous tissue handling, precise anastomosis, and advanced perioperative management, to optimize outcomes in both aesthetic and reconstructive contexts. Below, we delineate the key surgical techniques currently employed in this domain, highlighting their technical nuances, indications, and clinical implications.6

1. Microvascular Anastomosis in Autologous Tissue Transfer:

Autologous tissue transfer remains a cornerstone of reconstructive plastic surgery, particularly in cases where synthetic implants are contraindicated or suboptimal. Microsurgical revascularization plays a critical role in ensuring the viability of free flaps, such as the deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flap, anterolateral thigh (ALT) flap, and latissimus dorsi flap, which are commonly used in breast and soft tissue reconstruction. The procedure involves the identification and dissection of recipient vessels, followed by the microsurgical anastomosis of the flap's pedicle to these vessels. This process restores vascular supply to the transferred tissue, promoting graft survival and reducing the risk of complications such as flap necrosis.6

- **Technical Considerations:** The use of high-powered operating microscopes, fine sutures (e.g., 9-0 or 10-0 nylon), and specialized microsurgical instruments is essential for achieving precise anastomoses. Surgeons must also consider factors such as vessel size mismatch, pedicle length, and the patency of recipient vessels to ensure successful revascularization.7

2. Revascularization of Alloplastic Implants:

In aesthetic and reconstructive procedures involving synthetic implants, such as silicone breast implants or facial prostheses, microsurgical revascularization can be employed to enhance vascular integration and reduce complications. This technique involves the creation of a vascularized pocket or the use of vascularized cover flaps to improve perfusion around the implant. For instance, in breast reconstruction, the use of acellular dermal matrix (ADM) combined with microsurgical techniques can promote neovascularization, thereby reducing the risk of capsular contracture and implant exposure.7

- **Technical Considerations:** The selection of appropriate recipient vessels, the design of vascularized flaps, and the integration of biomaterials are critical to the success of this approach. Surgeons must also balance the aesthetic goals of the procedure with the need for adequate vascular support.8

3. Perforator Flap Surgery:

Perforator flap surgery represents a significant advancement in microsurgical revascularization, offering the dual benefits of reduced donor site morbidity and enhanced aesthetic outcomes. These flaps are based on perforating vessels that traverse through muscles or septa to supply the overlying skin and subcutaneous tissue. Examples include the DIEP flap for breast reconstruction and the superficial inferior epigastric artery (SIEA) flap for abdominal wall reconstruction. The meticulous dissection of perforators and their anastomosis to recipient vessels are central to this technique.8

- **Technical Considerations:** Preoperative imaging, such as computed tomography angiography (CTA) or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), is often used to map perforator vessels and plan the surgical approach. Intraoperative techniques, such as the "free-style" approach, allow for greater flexibility in flap design and vessel selection.8

4. Superficial Temporal Artery-Based Revascularization:

In facial aesthetic and reconstructive surgery, the superficial temporal artery (STA) is frequently utilized as a recipient vessel for microsurgical revascularization. This technique is particularly valuable in procedures such as facial reanimation, where free muscle flaps (e.g., gracilis flap) are transferred to restore dynamic function. The STA's reliable anatomy and accessibility make it an ideal choice for microvascular anastomosis in the facial region.8

- **Technical Considerations:** Careful dissection of the STA and its branches, along with precise anastomosis to the flap's pedicle, is essential. The use of nerve coaptation techniques may also be required to restore motor function in cases of facial paralysis.8

5. Chimeric and Composite Flaps:

Chimeric and composite flaps represent a sophisticated application of microsurgical revascularization, allowing for the transfer of multiple tissue components (e.g., skin, muscle, bone) on a single vascular pedicle. These flaps are particularly useful in complex reconstructive scenarios, such as head and neck reconstruction or extremity salvage. The ability to revascularize multiple tissue types simultaneously enhances the versatility and efficacy of these procedures.8

- **Technical Considerations:** The design and harvest of chimeric and composite flaps require a thorough understanding of vascular anatomy and meticulous planning. The use of intraoperative imaging and navigation systems can aid in the precise dissection and anastomosis of these complex flaps.9

6. Lymphaticovenular Anastomosis (LVA) in Implant-Based Surgery:

In cases where implant-based procedures are complicated by lymphedema or impaired lymphatic drainage, microsurgical techniques such as lymphaticovenular anastomosis (LVA) can be employed to restore lymphatic function. This technique involves the anastomosis of lymphatic vessels to adjacent venules, thereby bypassing areas of obstruction and improving fluid drainage.9

- **Technical Considerations:** The identification of functional lymphatic vessels and their anastomosis to suitable venules require specialized skills and instrumentation. Preoperative lymphoscintigraphy or indocyanine green (ICG) lymphography may be used to map lymphatic pathways and guide the surgical approach.9

7. Robotic-Assisted Microsurgery:

The integration of robotic technology into microsurgical revascularization represents a cutting-edge advancement, offering enhanced precision and dexterity in complex procedures. Robotic-assisted microsurgery is particularly beneficial in anatomically challenging regions, such as the pelvis or deep facial structures, where traditional techniques may be limited.9

- **Technical Considerations:** The use of robotic systems requires specialized training and access to advanced technology. While the initial setup and cost may be prohibitive, the potential benefits in terms of precision and outcomes are significant.9

The current surgical techniques for microsurgical revascularization in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery reflect the field's ongoing evolution and commitment to innovation. By leveraging advanced microsurgical principles and technologies, surgeons can address complex clinical challenges with greater precision and efficacy, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes. As these techniques continue to evolve, their integration into routine practice promises to further expand the possibilities of plastic surgery, offering new hope and improved quality of life for patients.10

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS:

The field of microsurgical revascularization in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery is poised for significant advancements, driven by ongoing innovations in surgical techniques, biomaterials, and regenerative medicine. As the demand for both aesthetic and reconstructive procedures continues to grow, there is a pressing need for research that addresses the limitations of current practices and explores novel approaches to enhance outcomes. Below, we outline key areas for future investigation, each of which holds the potential to transform the field and improve patient care.10

1. Optimization of Microsurgical Techniques:

While microsurgical revascularization has already revolutionized plastic surgery, there remains considerable scope for refining and optimizing these techniques. Future research should focus on developing standardized protocols for microvascular anastomosis, particularly in challenging anatomical regions such as the head and neck, extremities, and trunk. Studies investigating the use of advanced imaging modalities, such as intraoperative fluorescence angiography and real-time perfusion monitoring, could provide valuable insights into optimizing vascular patency and graft survival.11

- **Research Questions:** How can intraoperative imaging technologies be integrated into microsurgical procedures to enhance precision and outcomes? What are the long-term patency rates of different anastomotic techniques, and how can they be improved?

2. Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering:

The integration of biomaterials and tissue engineering principles into microsurgical revascularization represents a promising avenue for future research. The development of bioactive scaffolds, growth factor-eluting matrices, and vascularized tissue constructs could enhance the integration and survival of both autologous and synthetic implants. Additionally, the use of 3D bioprinting to create patient-specific vascular networks holds the potential to revolutionize implant-based surgery.11

- **Research Questions:** What are the optimal biomaterial properties for promoting neovascularization and reducing capsular contracture in implant-based surgery? How can 3D bioprinting be utilized to create customized vascularized implants?

3. Stem Cell Therapy and Regenerative Medicine:

Stem cell therapy and regenerative medicine offer exciting possibilities for enhancing microsurgical revascularization outcomes. The use of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), and other cell-based therapies could promote angiogenesis, reduce inflammation, and improve tissue regeneration. Future research should explore the safety, efficacy, and long-term outcomes of these therapies in the context of implant-based plastic surgery.11

- **Research Questions:** What is the role of stem cell therapy in enhancing graft survival and reducing complications in microsurgical revascularization? How can stem cell-derived exosomes be utilized to promote vascularization and tissue regeneration?

4. Robotic and AI-Assisted Microsurgery:

The integration of robotic systems and artificial intelligence (AI) into microsurgical revascularization represents a cutting-edge area of research. Robotic-assisted microsurgery offers the potential for enhanced precision, reduced surgeon fatigue, and improved outcomes in complex procedures. AI algorithms could be developed to assist with preoperative planning, intraoperative decision-making, and postoperative monitoring.12

- **Research Questions:** What are the comparative outcomes of robotic-assisted versus traditional microsurgical techniques? How can AI be utilized to predict and prevent complications in microsurgical revascularization?

5. Lymphatic Microsurgery:

The role of lymphatic microsurgery in implant-based plastic surgery is an emerging area of interest. Future research should investigate the use of lymphaticovenular anastomosis (LVA) and vascularized lymph node transfer (VLNT) to address lymphedema and impaired lymphatic drainage in patients undergoing implant-based procedures. Studies should also explore the potential benefits of combining

lymphatic microsurgery with traditional revascularization techniques.12

- **Research Questions:** What are the long-term outcomes of lymphatic microsurgery in patients with implant-related lymphedema? How can lymphatic microsurgery be integrated into routine implant-based procedures to enhance outcomes?

6. Patient-Specific Approaches and Personalized Medicine:

The advent of personalized medicine offers new opportunities for tailoring microsurgical revascularization techniques to individual patient needs. Future research should focus on developing patient-specific approaches based on genetic, molecular, and anatomical profiling. This could include the use of pharmacogenomics to optimize perioperative management and the development of customized surgical plans based on advanced imaging and computational modeling.12

- **Research Questions:** How can genetic and molecular profiling be utilized to predict patient outcomes and guide surgical planning in microsurgical revascularization? What are the benefits of personalized perioperative management in improving graft survival and reducing complications?

7. Long-Term Outcomes and Quality of Life:

While short-term outcomes of microsurgical revascularization are well-documented, there is a need for long-term studies that evaluate patient satisfaction, quality of life, and functional outcomes. Future research should employ validated patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) and objective assessments to comprehensively evaluate the impact of these techniques on patients' lives.12

- **Research Questions:** What are the long-term quality of life outcomes for patients undergoing microsurgical revascularization in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based surgery? How do these outcomes compare to those of traditional techniques?

8. Ethical and Economic Considerations:

As microsurgical revascularization techniques continue to advance, it is essential to consider the ethical and economic implications of these innovations. Future research should explore the cost-effectiveness of microsurgical techniques, as well as the ethical considerations surrounding access to care, patient selection, and the use of emerging technologies.12

- **Research Questions:** What are the cost-effectiveness and economic impacts of microsurgical revascularization in implant-based plastic surgery? How can ethical considerations be integrated into the development and implementation of new microsurgical techniques?

The future of microsurgical revascularization in aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery is bright, with numerous opportunities for innovation and improvement. By addressing the research directions outlined above, the field can continue to evolve, offering new hope and improved outcomes for patients. As these advancements are realized, it is imperative that researchers, clinicians, and policymakers collaborate to ensure that these techniques are accessible, ethical, and aligned with the needs of patients. Through continued investment in research and innovation, the potential of microsurgical revascularization to transform plastic surgery will be fully realized, paving the way for a new era of patient care.13

The integration of microsurgical revascularization techniques into aesthetic and reconstructive implant-based plastic surgery represents a paradigm shift in the field, offering transformative potential for both patient outcomes and surgical innovation. By addressing the critical challenge of vascular supply, microsurgery has enabled surgeons to overcome longstanding limitations associated with implant ischemia, capsular contracture, and soft tissue necrosis, thereby enhancing graft survival, reducing complications, and achieving superior aesthetic and functional results. The application of these techniques—ranging from microvascular anastomosis in autologous tissue transfer to the use of advanced biomaterials and robotic-assisted systems—has expanded the horizons of what is possible in plastic surgery, allowing for the restoration of form and function in even the most complex clinical scenarios.14

The evidence presented in this article underscores the efficacy of microsurgical revascularization in improving outcomes across a spectrum of procedures, including breast reconstruction, facial aesthetics, and extremity salvage. The precision and adaptability of microsurgical techniques have not only elevated the standard of care but have also paved the way for novel approaches such as perforator flap surgery, chimeric and composite flaps, and lymphatic microsurgery. These advancements have been further bolstered by innovations in imaging, biomaterials, and regenerative medicine, which continue to push the boundaries of what can be achieved in implant-based surgery.15

However, the successful implementation of microsurgical revascularization is contingent upon several factors, including surgeon expertise, meticulous preoperative planning, and access to specialized resources. The steep learning curve associated with these techniques necessitates ongoing training and education, while the complexity of the procedures demands a multidisciplinary approach to patient care. Furthermore, as the field continues to evolve, it is imperative that ethical and economic considerations are addressed to ensure equitable access to these advanced techniques and to balance innovation with affordability.15

Looking ahead, the future of microsurgical revascularization in plastic surgery is brimming with potential. Emerging technologies such as 3D bioprinting, stem cell therapy, and AI-assisted surgery hold promise for further enhancing

outcomes and expanding the scope of microsurgical applications. Concurrently, research into patient-specific approaches and long-term quality of life outcomes will provide valuable insights into optimizing care and tailoring interventions to individual needs. By fostering collaboration between researchers, clinicians, and industry stakeholders, the field can continue to innovate and refine these techniques, ultimately improving the lives of patients worldwide.16,17

In conclusion, microsurgical revascularization has firmly established itself as a cornerstone of modern plastic surgery, bridging the gap between aesthetic aspirations and reconstructive necessities. Its ability to restore vascular integrity, promote tissue viability, and mitigate complications has revolutionized implant-based procedures, setting a new standard for excellence in the field. As we move forward, the continued exploration and refinement of these techniques will undoubtedly unlock new possibilities, ensuring that plastic surgery remains at the forefront of medical innovation and patient-centered care. Through unwavering commitment to research, education, and ethical practice, the potential of microsurgical revascularization to transform lives will be fully realized, heralding a new era of hope and healing for patients in need.

REFERENCES

I. Sadok N., Krabbe-Timmerman I.S., Buisman N.H., van Aalst V.C., de Bock G.H., Werker P.M.N. Short-term Quality of Life after Autologous Compared to Alloplastic Breast Reconstruction: A Prospective Study. Plaaast Reconstr. Surg. 2023 doi: 10.1097/PRS.0000000000010496. online ahead of print .

II. Atamian E.K., Suydam R., Hardy T.N., Clappier M., Barnett S., Caulfield D., Jelavic M., Smith M.L., Tanna N. Financial Implications of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Protocols in Microsurgical Breast Reconstruction. Ann. Plast. Surg. 2023;90:S607–S611. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0000000000003412.

III. Fracol M.E., Rodriguez M.M., Clemens M.W. A Spectrum of Disease: Breast Implant-Associated Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma, Atypicals, and Other Implant Associations. Clin. Plast. Surg. 2023;50:249–257. doi: 10.1016/j.cps.2022.12.001.

IV. Martin J., di Summa P.G., Raffoul W., Koch N. Breast Reconstruction: Economic Impact Swiss Health Insurance System. Medicines. 2022;9:64. doi: 10.3390/medicines9120064.

V. Fertsch S., Munder B., Andree C., Witzel C., Stambera P., Schulz T., Hagouan M., Gruter L., Aufmesser B., Staemmler K., et al. Risk Factor Analysis for Flap and Donor Site Related Complications in 1274 DIEP Flaps—Retrospective Single Center Study. Chirurgia. 2021;116((Suppl. S2)):5–15. doi: 10.21614/chirurgia.116.2Suppl.S5.

VI. Patel N.G., Rozen W.M., Chow W.T., Chowdhry M., Fitzgerald O'Connor E., Sharma H., Griffiths M., Ramakrishnan V.V. Stacked and bipedicled abdominal free flaps for breast reconstruction: Considerations for shaping. Gland. Surg. 2016;5:115–121. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2227-684X.2016.02.03.

VII. Blondeel P.N., Hijjawi J., Depypere H., Roche N., Van Landuyt K. Shaping the breast in aesthetic and reconstructive breast surgery: An easy three-step principle. Part IV—Aesthetic breast surgery. Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 2009;124:372–382. doi: 10.1097/PRS.0b013e3181aeeb21.

VIII. Chae M.P., Rozen W.M., Patel N.G., Hunter-Smith D.J., Ramakrishnan V. Enhancing breast projection in autologous reconstruction using the St Andrew's coning technique and 3D volumetric analysis. Gland. Surg. 2017;6:706–714. doi: 10.21037/gs.2017.06.01.

IX. Razzano S., Marongiu F., Wade R., Figus A. Optimizing DIEP Flap Insetting for Immediate Unilateral Breast Reconstruction: A Prospective Cohort Study of Patient-Reported Aesthetic Outcomes. Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 2019;143:261e–270e. doi: 10.1097/PRS.0000000000005277.

X. Holm C., Mayr M., Hofter E., Ninkovic M. Perfusion zones of the DIEP flap revisited: A clinical study. Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 2006;117:37–43. doi: 10.1097/01.prs.0000185867.84172.c0.

XI. Ali R.S., Garrido A., Ramakrishnan V. Stacked free hemi-DIEP flaps: A method of autologous breast reconstruction in a patient with midline abdominal scarring. Br. J. Plast. Surg. 2002;55:351–353. doi: 10.1054/bjps.2002.3834.

XII. Pulzl P., Schoeller T., Wechselberger G. Respecting the aesthetic unit in autologous breast reconstruction improves the outcome. Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 2006;117:1685–1691; discussion 1683–1692. doi: 10.1097/01.prs.0000218334.31351.4f.

XIII. Liao H.T., Cheng M.H., Ulusal B.G., Wei F.C. Deep inferior epigastric perforator flap for successful simultaneous breast and chest wall reconstruction in a Poland anomaly patient. Ann. Plast. Surg. 2005;55:422–426. doi: 10.1097/01.sap.0000171424.77066.22.

XIV. Cheng M.H., Robles J.A., Ulusal B.G., Wei F.C. Reliability of zone IV in the deep inferior epigastric perforator flap: A single center's experience with 74 cases. Breast. 2006;15:158–166. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2005.06.006.

XV. Williams E.H., Rosenberg L.Z., Kolm P., de la Torre J.I., Fix R.J. Immediate nipple reconstruction on a

free TRAM flap breast reconstruction. Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 2007;120:1115–1124. doi: 10.1097/01.prs.0000279142.46729.94.

XVI. Patel A.J., Kulkarni M., O'Broin E.S. A TRAM flap design refinement for use in delayed breast reconstruction. J. Plast. Reconstr. Aesthet. Surg. 2009;62:1135–1139. doi: 10.1016/j.bjps.2008.03.015.

XVII. Scholz T., Kretsis V., Kobayashi M.R., Evans G.R.D. Long-term outcomes after primary breast reconstruction using a vertical skin pattern for skin-sparing mastectomy. Plast Reconstr. Surg. 2008;122:1603–1611. doi: 10.1097/PRS.0b013e31818a9a0a.